Open Access

https://doi.org/10.48130/bpr-0024-0005 Beverage Plant Research **2024**, 4: e012

Comprehensive study of non-volatile and volatile metabolites in five water lily species and varieties (*Nymphaea spp*.) using widely targeted metabolomics

Gaozhong Yang^{1,2}, Jialong Wei^{3,4}, Yannong Wu^{3,4}, Shenghong Chen⁵, Cuiwei Yu⁵, Yin Zhu¹, Zhi Lin¹, Haipeng Lv^{1*} and Yuchu Chen^{4*}

² Graduate School of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, China

⁴ Hangzhou Aquatic Plant Society, Hangzhou 310030, China

Abstract

Water lilies, members of the Nymphaeaceae family, are globally cultivated aquatic plants known for their diverse colors and significant ornamental, economic, beverage, medicinal, and ecological value. In this study, we employed ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) to analyze the non-volatile components and simultaneous distillation extraction (SDE) in combination with two-dimensional gas chromatography-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (GC×GC-TOFMS) to analyze the volatile components in five water lily species and varieties. Results showed that 118 differential metabolites including flavonoids, phenolic acids, amino acids, and lipids were screened among 533 non-volatiles. Cyanidin-type anthocyanins, including cyanidin-3-*O*-galactoside, cyanidin-3-*O*-glucoside, and cyanidin-3- rutinoside, are present in high amounts in the purple-colored *Nymphaea* 'Detective Erika'. Conversely, delphinidin is found in significant quantities in *Nymphaea* 'Blue Bird', which exhibits a blue color. KEGG analysis showed that flavonoid biosynthesis and anthocyanin biosynthesis exhibited significant enrichment. Additionally, a total of 166 volatiles were screened in water lilies, mainly including aromatic compounds, alkynes, ketones, alcohols and esters. Among them, the concentrations of key compounds including 1,11-dodecadiene, benzyl alcohol, benzaldehyde, *α*-farnesene and dimethyl sulfide, varied significantly among different samples. This study reveals significant variations in chemical compounds among different *Nymphaea* species and varieties. These findings contribute to enhancing our comprehension of the metabolic variability and composition of water lilies, which might shed light on unlocking new possibilities for their potential application in the beverage industry.

Citation: Yang G, Wei J, Wu Y, Chen S, Yu C, et al. 2024. Comprehensive study of non-volatile and volatile metabolites in five water lily species and varieties (*Nymphaea spp.*) using widely targeted metabolomics. *Beverage Plant Research* 4: e012 https://doi.org/10.48130/bpr-0024-0005

Introduction

The genus Nymphaea, belonging to the family Nymphaeaceae and commonly known as water lily, comprises over 60 species of perennial aquatic plants^[1]. This precious aquatic plant is distributed across frigid zones to the tropical regions worldwide. In horticulture, water lilies can be divided into two categories based on their ecological habits: tropical water lilies and hardy water lilies^[2]. In addition to their aesthetic appeal, economically, Nymphaea species possess a substantial quantity of phytochemicals and nutrients, making them widely utilized for beverage preparation^[3], essential oil extraction^[4], and as a valuable source of food, nutrition, and medicinal purposes^[5,6]. The incorporation of water lily in scented tea offers potential benefits in the tea beverage industry, combining ornamental value and potential health benefits^[7]. Understanding the chemical composition and metabolic profiles of water lilies is important for uncovering their biological functions and applications. Metabolomics, a comprehensive study of small molecule metabolites, provides insights into the diverse range of non-volatile and volatile metabolites in water lilies^[8,9].

amino acids, and organic acids, as well as secondary metabolites such as flavonoids, alkaloids, and phenolic compounds^[10]. These metabolites are essential for the growth, development, and defense mechanisms of plants^[11]. Phytochemical screening of water lilies indicates the presence of several bioactive compounds like phenolic, flavonoids, triterpenes, glycosides, carbohydrates, and other compounds^[12]. Phenols and flavonoids are the main phytochemicals found in water lilies and are responsible for their health benefits^[5]. In addition, the captivating colors of water lily petals are attributed to their richanthocyanin content. For instance, delphinidin 3'-O-(2"-O-galloyl-6"-O-acetyl- β -galactopyranoside was identified as the primary blue anthocyanin in N. colorata^[13]. Most detection methods for water lily metabolites are either targeted, with limited coverage, or non-targeted, with lower sensitivity and accuracy^[14]. The combination of ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) with widely targeted metabolomics techniques provides fast separation, high sensitivity, and broad coverage^[15].

Non-volatile metabolites encompass a wide range of com-

pounds, including primary metabolites such as carbohydrates,

¹ Key Laboratory of Biology, Genetics and Breeding of Special Economic Animals and Plants, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Hangzhou 310008, China

³ Hangzhou Sanlian Ecological Agriculture Co., Ltd., Hangzhou 310000, China

⁵ Zhejiang Humanities Landscape Co., Ltd., Hangzhou 310000, China

^{*} Corresponding authors, E-mail: lvhaipeng@caas.cn; cyc1933@126.com

Volatile metabolites, low molecular weight compounds that evaporate at ambient temperatures, are essential for the aroma, pollinator attraction, communication, and defense in plants. Its compositions vary among different species of water lilies. For example, day-blooming species emitting aromatic alcohols and ethers, while nocturnal species have a higher abundance of aromatic ethers, aliphatic esters, and C5branched chain esters, which may play a role in attracting potential pollinators through olfactory cues^[16]. In addition, previous studies using solid phase microextraction gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (SPME-GC-MS) detected 79 and 71 volatile compounds in tropical water lilies and hardy water lilies, respectively, with aromatic substances being their major volatile components^[17]. However, with the advancements in analytical techniques, researchers have sought to explore more advanced methods for volatile compound analysis. Currently, comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (GC×GC-TOFMS) has been widely adopted for the analysis of volatile compounds in a variety of foods due to its high resolution, high sensitivity, and peak capacity^[18,19].

In this study, we utilized UPLC-MS/MS and GC×GC-TOFMS techniques to comprehensively identify both non-volatile and volatile constituents of water lilies in five different colors. By comparing metabolite profiles across various *Nymphaea* species and varieties, we can uncover the discrepancies in chemical compounds that maybe linked to variations in scent, coloration, and potential biological activity. The findings of this study will enhance our understanding of the metabolic variability and chemical constitution of water lilies, thereby improving our knowledge of their distinctive attributes and potential uses.

Materials and methods

Chemicals and instruments

Methanol, acetonitrile and ethanol were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Ether (GC) was acquired from Tedia (Fairfield, OH, USA). Anhydrous sodium sulfate (AR) and ethyl decanoate (AR, internal standard) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Shanghai, China). Distilled water was obtained from Wahaha Group Company (Hangzhou, China). The carboxen/divinylbenzene/polydimethylsiloxane (CAR-DVB-PDMS; 50/30 µm) microextraction fiber was obtained from Supelco (Bellefonte, PA, USA). The *n*-alkanes (C3-C9, C8-C40) were purchased from J&K Scientific (Beijing, China).

Sample preparation

As shown in Fig. 1, five different water lily samples were collected from Hangzhou Aquatic Plant Society, including two species — *N. lotus* (NL), *N. rubra* (NR), and three varieties — *Nymphaea* 'Texas Dawn' (TD), *Nymphaea* 'Blue Bird' (BB), and *Nymphaea* 'Detective Erika' (DE). Three fully expanded flowers (on the first day after flowering) were collected in the morning, three samples of each variety, and processed immediately.

Analysis of non-volatile metabolites

Sample pre-treatment

The petals of water lily samples were ground using a mixer mill (MM 400,) with a zirconia bead for 1.5 min at 30 Hz. One hundred mg of sample powder was dissolved with 0.6 mL 70% aqueous methanol; the dissolved sample was placed in the refrigerator at 4 $^{\circ}$ C for 12 h, during which the sample was



Fig. 1 Five different species and varieties of water lilies selected in this study.

vortexed six times; finally, the sample was centrifuged at 4 °C for 10 min at 12,000 r/min, and the extracts were absorbed and filtrated (SCAA-104, 0.22 μm pore size; ANPEL, Shanghai, China), and then sealed in the injection vial for subsequent UPLC-MS/MS analysis.

UPLC-MS/MS analysis

The analysis was conducted by MetWare (Wuhan, China)^[20]. UPLC (Shim-pack UFLC SHIMADZU CBM30A) equipped with tandem mass spectrometry (Applied Biosystems 4500 QTRAP) was used for the wide-targeted metabolomic assays of nonvolatiles in water lily samples. The analytical conditions were as follows: Waters ACQUITY UPLC HSS T3 C18 column (2.1 mm × 100.0 mm, 1.8 µm); flow rate of 0.35 mL/min; column temperature of 40 °C; injection volume of 4 µL. The mobile phase A was ultrapure water (dissolved in 0.04% acetic acid), and the mobile phase B was acetonitrile (dissolved in 0.04% acetic acid). The mobile phase elution gradient was as follows: the proportion of phase B was 5% at 0.00 min, the proportion of phase B increased linearly to 95% at 10.00 min and maintained at 95% for 1 min, the proportion of phase B was reduced to 5% at 11.00–11.10 min and equilibrated with the proportion of phase B at 5% for 14 min. The effluent was alternatively connected to an ESI-triple quadrupole-linear ion trap (QTRAP)-MS.

Linear ion trap (LIT) and triple quadrupole (QQQ) scans were acquired on a triple quadrupole-linear ion trap mass spectrometer (Q TRAP), API 4500 Q TRAP UPLC/MS/MS System, equipped with an ESI Turbo Ion-Spray interface, operating in positive and negative ion mode and controlled by Analyst 1.6.3 software (AB Sciex). The ESI source operation parameters were as follows: ion source, turbo spray; source temperature 550 °C; ion spray voltage (IS) 5,500 V (positive ion mode)/-4,500 V (negative ion mode); ion source gas I (GSI), gas II (GSII), curtain gas (CUR) were set at 50, 60, and 30 psi, respectively; the collision gas (CAD) was high. Instrument tuning and mass calibration were performed with 10 and 100 µmol/L polypropylene glycol solutions in QQQ and LIT modes, respectively. QQQ scans were acquired as MRM experiments with collision gas (nitrogen) set to 5 psi. Declustering potential (DP) and collision energy (CE) for individual MRM transitions was carried out with further DP and CE optimization. A specific set of MRM transitions were monitored for each period according to the metabolites eluted within this period.

Qualitative and quantitative analyse of non-volatiles

For the qualitative analysis, the metabolites were identified by matching the retention time, fragmentation patterns, and accurate m/z values to the standards in the self-constructed metabolite database (MetWare, Wuhan, China). The quantitative analysis was conducted based on the signal intensities of metabolites obtained from characteristic ions. MultiaQuant software was used to integrate and calibrate chromatographic peaks. The peak area of each chromatographic peak represented the relative content of the corresponding substance.

Analysis of volatile metabolites

Extraction of aroma components

The sample preparation method underwent minor modifications, as outlined in the previous study^[19]. Specifically, simultaneous distillation extraction (SDE) method was used to extract the aroma components of water lily flower. The specific steps were as follows: weigh 10.00 g of the water lily flower sample to be tested, put it in a 500 mL round-bottomed flask and add 300 mL of boiling distilled water, and heat it to a slight boil with an electric heating jacket. Add 30 mL of redistilled anhydrous ether into the extraction flask and distill the extract at 50 °C for 1 h. Remove the water from the obtained material with anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrate under nitrogen, then put into the injection bottle for sealing and storage at -20 °C for measurement.

GC×GC-TOFMS analysis conditions

The analysis of aroma components in the water lily samples was conducted using a GC×GC-TOFMS system, which consisted of a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890B; Santa Clara, CA, USA) coupled with a TOFMS instrument (LECO Pegasus 4D; LECO Corporation, St. Joseph, MI, USA). The first dimension (1st D) column was a non-polar DB-5MS column (30 m \times 250 μ m \times 0.25 μm) and the second dimension (2nd D) column was a moderate polar DB-17HT column (1.9 m \times 100 μ m \times 0.10 μ m), and both above columns were purchased from Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA, USA). Injection port temperature: 280 °C; Transfer line temperature: 270 °C; Carrier gas: helium (purity 99.999%); No split injection; Modulation time interval: 4.0 s; Sample injection volume: 1.0 µL. The 1st D column temperature program: hold at 60 °C for 3.0 min, ramp at 4.0 °C/min to 280 °C, hold for 2.5 min; 2nd D column temperature program: hold at 65 °C for 3.0 min, ramp at 4.0 °C/min to 280 °C, hold for 2.5 min; Total analysis time: 60.5 min. MS conditions: Electron ionization source; lonization energy: -70 eV; Mass scanning range: 33 to 600 m/z; lon source temperature: 220 °C.

Qualitative analysis of aroma components

The GC×GC-TOFMS data were processed using the LECO ChromaTOF software. The calculated retention index (RI) values calculated using C8–C40 *n*-alkanes, and the reference RI values were obtained from the NIST 2014 semi-standard non-polar (DB-5) column. A compound was considered tentatively identified if the difference between the calculated and reference RI values was less than 20.

Statistical data analysis

Patrial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) was performed by MetaboAnalyst 5.0 (www.metaboanalyst.ca). The data was log transformed (log10) and normalization by median before PLS-DA. Significantly regulated metabolites between groups were determined by VIP \geq 1 and absolute Log2FC (fold change) \geq 1. To mitigate the risk of overfitting, we conducted a permutation test with 100 permutations.

The identified non-volatile metabolites were annotated using Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Compound database (www.kegg.jp/kegg/compound), annotated metabolites were then mapped to KEGG pathway database (www.kegg.jp/kegg/pathway.html). Pathways with significantly regulated metabolites mapped to were then fed into metabolite sets enrichment analysis, their significance was determined using p-values obtained from the hypergeometric test.

Results

Overall determination of non-volatile metabolites in water lily

A total of 533 non-volatile metabolites including nine categories were tentatively identified for water lilies by a comparison with tandem mass spectrum information from published databases and standards from the MetWare self-constructed metabolite database (Supplemental Fig. S1). As shown in Fig. 2a, these were 151 flavonoids (including 64 flavonoid and flavonoid carbonoside, 51 flavonols, 11 anthocyanins, 11 flavanols, nine dihydroflavone and dihydroflavonol, five isoflavones), 109 phenolic acids, 68 amino acids and derivatives, 57 lipids, 39 nucleotides and derivatives, 30 organic acids, 27 alkaloids, 23 saccharides and alcohols, and 29 other metabolites.



Fig. 2 Overview of the non-volatile components. (a) Quantitative distribution of chemical classes of volatile compounds. (b) Number of differentiated compounds with fold change \geq 2 or \leq 0.5. Note: NL, *N. lotus*; NR, *N. rubra*; TD, *Nymphaea* 'Texas Dawn'; BB, *Nymphaea* 'Blue Bird'; DE, *Nymphaea* 'Detective Erika'.

Results showed that flavonoids, phenolic acids, lipids, amino acids and derivatives were the dominant non-volatile metabolites in the five water lilies. To better understand the differences in the content of non-volatile components between water lily samples from different species and varieties, the analysis was calculated by Log2FC (fold change) ≥ 2 or ≤ 0.5 . The plots reflect the information on differential metabolite up-regulation and down-regulation (Fig. 2b). The numbers of differential metabolites identified in NL, TD, NR, and DE were 329 (237 up, 92 down), 310 (218 up, 92 down), 314 (213 up, 101 down), and 282 (177 up, 105 down), respectively.

Crucial differential metabolites in water lilies

In the present study, all differential metabolites were investigated based on fold change, and a total of 118 non-volatiles were screened in five water lilies, mainly consisted of flavonoids, phenolic acids, amino acids and derivatives, lipids, and organic acids metabolites (Supplemental Table S1 & Supplemental Fig. S2). Specifically, we screened 10 up-regulated and 10 down-regulated metabolites with the highest fold change values in different water lilies (Fig. 3). Comparing the NL samples to the BB samples, we observed higher levels of chrysoeriol-O-malonylhexoside, 6,7,8-tetrahydroxy-5-methoxyflavone, myricetin-O-glucoside-rhamnoside, and kaempferol-3-O-neohesperidoside. On the other hand, the BB samples contained higher levels of isoquercitrin and luteolin-7-O- β -Dgentiobioside. Moreover, the TD samples exhibited high levels of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, 1-O-p-coumaroylquinic acid, and tetragallic acid, while the BB samples exhibited high levels of 2'-hydroxygenistein, phloretin 2'-O-glucoside, and 3,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde. Comparing the NR samples to the BB samples, we found higher levels of cyanidin-3-rutinoside, cyanidin-3-O-galactoside, and myricetin-O-glucosiderhamnoside. Conversely, the BB samples exhibited higher levels of 7-methoxycoumarin, myricitrin, and naringenin-7-O-glucoside. In comparison to the BB samples, the DE samples displayed higher levels of myricetin-O-glucoside-rhamnoside, cis-4-hydroxy-D-proline, myricetin-3-O-rhamnoside-7-O-rhamnoside, and neochlorogenic acid. On the other hand, the BB samples contained higher levels of myricitrin, isoquercitrin, and naringenin-7-O-glucoside.

We further identified the enrichment of differential metabolites in the KEGG mapping. The results of pathway enrichment analysis of the detected differential compounds using the KEGG database are shown in Supplemental Fig. S3. A total of 354, 327, 380, 299 differential compounds from BB vs NL, BB vs TD, BB vs NR, BB vs DE samples could be annotated to the relevant metabolic pathways, which were mainly significantly enriched in the pathways of biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, flavonoid biosynthesis, anthocyanin biosynthesis, flavonoids and flavonols biosynthesis, isoflavonoid biosynthesis. Furthermore, we observed significant enrichment in pathways associated with tryptophan metabolism and phenylpropanoid biosynthesis in the BB vs TD group. Additionally, caffeine metabolism exhibited significant enrichment in the BB vs NR group.

Identification and overview of volatile compounds

The fragrance of water lily contains volatile compounds such as terpenes, phenylpropanoids, benzenoids, fatty acid derivatives, and amino acid derivatives. These compounds not only attract pollinators, but also play a crucial role in transmitting

signals in plant-plant interactions and providing protection and defense for the plant^[17]. In this study, the volatile compounds of water lily samples were analyzed by GC×GC-TOFMS (Supplemental Fig. S4). By comparing the MS of the compounds and comparing the chromatographic peaks and tested RI values with the reported RI values, 166 volatiles were identified, including 46 aromatic compounds, 34 alkynes, 22 ketones, 10 alcohols, 18 esters, 20 aldehydes, three carboxylic acids, five heterocyclics, five sulfur-containing compounds, and three other compounds (Fig. 4a). We identified 128, 141, 142, 135, and 129 volatile compounds in BB, NL, TD, NR, and DE, respectively, and 108 of the 166 metabolites were common to all water lily samples (Fig. 4b). We observed that 20 volatile compounds were exclusively detected in specific water lily samples. For instance, in BB variety, compounds such as amorpha-4,11-diene, (Z)-geranylacetone, α -bisabolol, and (E)- β ionone were found. In NR variety, pyrocinchonic anhydride and 2-tetradecanone were exclusively detected. NL variety exhibited unique compounds including mequinol, 4-ethylresorcinol, p-xylene, and 2,5-hexanedione. TD variety showed the presence of terpilene, (E,E)-2,6-dimethyl-2,4,6-octatriene, 1nonanol, β -bisabolene, sabinene, 3-carvomenthenone, (4*E*,6*Z*)-2,6-dimethyl-2,4,6-octatriene, ipsdienol, and 2-thujene. Lastly, DE variety exclusively contained 2-pentoxyethyl acetate.

The relative content of volatiles calculated from the total ion chromatograms varied in the concentration and proportion of each chemical class in different samples. Among them, the highest peak area of volatile components was found in BB, followed by NL (Fig. 4c). Alkenes were found to be the most abundant volatile components in BB, accounting for 69.58% of the total volatile components. Aromatic compounds were found to be the most abundant volatile components. In addition, alcohols and alkenes accounted for 28.05% and 26.89% of the total volatile components in DE, respectively.

We investigated the relative amounts of the main 24 chemical compounds released that were greater than 1% in BB, NL, TD, NR, DE, with total relative contents of 90.92%, 91.45%, 83.19%, 77.29%, and 90.83%, respectively (Table 1 & Fig. 5). The concentrations of the identical chemical compounds varied across distinct samples. Within the provided BB sample, three compounds of the alkene class, namely 1,11-dodecadiene (27.30%), (*E*)- β -famesene (18.28%), and α -farnesene (14.44%), collectively constitute more than half of the total volatile compounds. Among the NL samples, 2,5-dimethoxytoluene (56.18%) emerged as the most abundant compound. In TD and NR samples, dimethyl sulfide was the predominant volatile compound, comprising 33.61% and 18.75% of the total volatile content, respectively. In addition, in DE sample, the concentration of benzyl alcohol (23.83%) was the most abundant, followed by dimethyl sulfide (16.67%) and α -farnesene (16.41%).

Analysis of different volatiles in water lily samples

To gain a comprehensive understanding of the variations in volatile compound content among the five water lily samples, we utilized PLS-DA with the peak areas of 166 volatile compounds as input variables. As shown in Fig. 6a, the five samples were distinctly segregated from the remaining samples along the principal component 1 axis (R2X [1] = 37.6%) and principal component 2 axis (R2X [2] = 27.1%). Cross-validation was performed using the leave-one-out method, with the first two principal components explaining 99.6% of the total

Beverage Plant Research

Metabolomic profiling of water lily



Fig. 3 The highest fold change values of non-volatile metabolites. (a) Fold change plot of NL vs BB. (b) Fold change plot of TD vs BB. (c) Fold change plot of NR vs BB. (d) Fold change plot of DE vs BB. Note: The horizontal coordinate is the log2FC of the differentially metabolized metabolite, and the vertical coordinate is the differentially metabolized metabolite. Red represents up-regulated differentially expressed metabolites. NL, *N. lotus*; NR, *N. rubra*; TD, *Nymphaea* 'Texas Dawn'; BB, *Nymphaea* 'Blue Bird'; DE, *Nymphaea* 'Detective Erika'.

variance (R2X). The model exhibited good predictive ability (Q2 = 85.1%) and was not overfitted. The evident segregation and high reproducibility observed among the various sample groups substantiated the presence of significant disparities in the volatile compositions of the five water lily species and varieties (Fig. 6a).

The variable importance in projection (VIP) value is a comprehensive metric that quantifies the contribution of a variable in describing the data and indicates the significance of an independent variable for the model^[21]. By utilizing the PLS-DA model, we identified 42 key volatile compounds with VIP scores of \geq 1 across all samples (Supplemental Fig. S5). Subsequently,

Yang et al. Beverage Plant Research 2024, 4: e012



Fig. 4 Overview of the volatile components. (a) Quantitative distribution of chemical classes of volatile compounds. (b) Venn plot; numbers represent the identified metabolites. (c) Relative abundance of different types of volatile compounds. Note: NL, *N. lotus*; NR, *N. rubra*; TD, *Nymphaea* 'Texas Dawn'; BB, *Nymphaea* 'Blue Bird'; DE, *Nymphaea* 'Detective Erika'.



Dimethyl sulfide 1,4-Dimethoxybenzene (*E*)-α-Bergamotene **Fig. 5** Molecular formulas of major volatile compounds.

a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted on these compounds, revealing statistically significant differences among the distinct sample groups (p < 0.05). Notably, 26 metabolites of volatile compounds exhibited VIP values exceeding 1.5 (Fig. 6c), with 2,3-butanedione, octanal, 1-methyl-4-(1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl)benzene, acetic acid, phenylmethyl ester, 2,5-dimethoxytoluene, (E)- β -ocimene being among the top-ranked compounds in descending order of VIP values.

Discussion

Although previous studies have been reported on the volatile and non-volatile components of water lilies, the number of compounds reported in these studies is relatively limited^[2,22]. By employing widely targeted metabolomics, we overcome the limitations associated with both targeted and non-targeted metabolite detection methods. This approach provided us with a high-throughput analysis, increased sensitivity, and wide coverage, enabling a more comprehensive understanding of the metabolomic profile of water lilies. In the present study, we comprehensively identified 533 non-volatile and 166 volatile components in five different colors of water lilies using UPLC-MS/MS and GC × GC-TOFMS techniques.

It is widely recognized that flower color is a crucial characteristic of ornamental plants and is influenced by various factors. Specifically, the type and concentration of anthocyanins are generally considered to be the primary determinants^[23]. Anthocyanins play an important role in plant physiology, serving as attractions for pollinators and herbivores, acting as deterrents against herbivores and parasites, and also influencing visual signals and mimicry of defensive structures^[24]. Among the diverse range of flower colors, blue coloration primarily results from the presence of anthocyanins derived from delphinidin^[25]. In the petals of Nymphaea 'King of Siam', four anthocyanins were identified: delphinidin $3-O-\beta$ -galactopyranoside, delphinidin 3'-O-(2"-O-galloyl- β -galactopyranoside, delphinidin 3-O-(6"-O-acetyl-β-glucopyranoside, delphinidin 3'-O-(2"-O-galloyl-6"-O-acetyl- β -galactopyranoside^[13]. In the present study, a total of 11 anthocyanins were detected in five different-colored water lilies. Among them, delphinidin-derived anthocyanins exhibited relatively higher relative abundances in BB, TD, and DE, while its abundance was low in DE. Notably, cyanidin-3-Ogalactoside, cyanidin-3-O-glucoside, cyanidin-3-rutinoside, cyanidin-O-acetylhexoside, and cyanidin-O-syringic acid, which are glycosides of the cyanidin type, were found to be the most abundant exclusively in the DE samples. In contrast, these compounds were found at very low levels or were not detected in the other four water lily samples.

In addition, numerous studies conducted on various plant species have provided evidence that flavonoids (including flavones, flavanols, and isoflavonoids) and their glycosides play

Comparison of the main volatile c	compounds in five wat	er lily sample	es.										
Common and a		DI (****	[מ]ערכיו ום		-				Relativ	/e conte	ent (%)		
compounds	LIASS	או (ופו)	ы (cal)	CAS	uoi	Oddr type	LIAVOI	BB	NL	D	NR	В	
Benzyl alcohol	Alcohols	1036 ± 4	1037	100-51-6	79	Floral	Floral, rose, balsamic	0.11	4.48	6.32	8.13	23.83	
Benzaldehyde	Aldehydes	962 ± 3	969	100-52-7	77	Fruity	Almond, burntsugar, sweet	8.10	2.13	3.17	5.22	3.91	
Hexanal	Aldehydes	800 ± 2	801	66-25-1	41	Green	Green, fatty, leafy	0.15	0.69	0.61	3.84	0.34	
Benzeneacetaldehyde	Aldehydes	1045 ± 4	1049	122-78-1	91	Green	Green, floral, honey	0.16	0.14	1.03	0.09	0.85	
1,11-Dodecadiene	Alkenes	1179±2	1763	5876-87-9	67	/	/	27.30	3.67	12.62	7.04	11.45	
(E)- β -Famesene	Alkenes	1457 ± 2	1453	18794-84-8	41	Woody	Woody, citrus, herbal	18.28	0.39	0.84	0.38	1.27	
α -Farnesene	Alkenes	1508 ± 2	1506	502-61-4	41	Woody	Citrus, herbal, neroli	14.44	0.17	10.38	0.18	16.41	
(E)- α -Bergamotene	Alkenes	1433 ± 3	1437	13474-59-4	93	Woody	Woody	2.02	0.11	0.03	0.03	1.65	
eta-Sesquiphellandrene	Alkenes	1524 ± 2	1528	20307-83-9	69	Herbal	Herbal, fruity, woody	3.43	0.12	0.15	0.10	1.37	
(E)- β -Ocimene	Alkenes	1049 ± 2	1048	3779-61-1	93	/	Herbal, sweet	0.01	0.03	3.89	0.04	0.01	
2,5-Dimethoxytoluene	Aromatic	1251±5	1249	24599-58-4	137	/	/	0.38	56.18	0.49	12.18	0.52	
	compounds												
1,4-Dimethoxybenzene	Aromatic	1168±9	1166	150-78-7	123	Green	Green, hay, sweet	0.05	3.62	0.02	6.63	0.02	
Phenol	Aromatic	980 ± 4	981	108-95-2	94	Phenolic	Phenolic, plastic, rubbery	0.17	0.87	0.56	1.46	0.62	
	compounds												
Acetic acid	Carboxylic acids	610 ± 10	581	64-19-7	45	Acidic	Pungent, sour	3.42	2.01	2.18	0.77	1.58	
Benzoic acid, methyl ester	Esters	1094 ± 3	1096	93-58-3	105	Phenolic	Phenolic, wintergreen, almond	0.03	0.57	0.07	1.86	0.03	
Ethyl acetate	Esters	612 ± 5	613	141-78-6	43	Ethereal	Ethereal fruity sweet	0.38	0.39	0.14	0.00	2.06	
Acetic acid, phenylmethyl ester	Esters	1164 ± 2	1166	140-11-4	108	Floral	Floral, fruity, jasmine	1.88	0.01	0.06	0.02	0.45	
6-Methyl-5-heptene-2-one	Ketones	986 ± 2	984	110-93-0	43	Citrus	Citrus, green, musty	1.29	2.16	2.15	3.67	3.05	
(<i>E</i>)-3-Penten-2-one	Ketones	735 ± N/A	744	3102-33-8	69	/		0.21	1.01	0.31	1.58	0.40	
(E)-Geranylacetone	Ketones	1453 ± 2	1448	3796-70-1	43	Floral	Fruity, fresh, rose	2.15	0.76	0.65	0.72	1.18	
2-Heptadecanone	Ketones	1902 ± 7	1900	2922-51-2	58	/	/	1.68	0.41	1.18	0.70	0.80	
Dimethyl sulfide	Sulfur-containing compounds	520 ± 7	553	75-18-3	47	Sulfurous	Sulfurous, sweet corn	4.37	8.76	33.61	18.75	16.67	
Carbon disulfide	Sulfur-containing compounds	549 ± 13	565	75-15-0	76	/	Sweet	0.55	1.17	1.96	1.29	1.39	
Benzothiazole	Sulfur-containing compounds	1229±8	1240	95-16-9	135	Sulfurous	Sulfurous, rubbery, vegetable, cooked	0.29	0.48	0.56	1.16	0.53	
Total relative content (%)								90.92	91.45	83.19	77.29	90.83	
ion index, Ion, Qualitative ion; ^[a] R	l (ref): The RI values (n	nedian ± dev	viation) were	the reference	values for	semi-standard no	n-polar (DB-5) column obtained from	NIST 201	4; ^[b] RI ((cal): The	e RI valu	es were	
	Comparison of the main volatile c Compounds Benzyl alcohol Benzyl alcohol Benzaldehyde Hexanal Benzeneacetaldehyde 1,11-Dodecadiene $(E)-\beta$ -Famesene $(E)-\beta$ -Famesene $(E)-\alpha$ -Bergamotene β -Sesquiphellandrene $(E)-\alpha$ -Bergamotene β -Sesquiphellandrene β -Sesquiphellandrene β -Sesquiphellandrene β -Sesquiphellandrene β -Sesquiphellandrene β -Sesquiphellandrene β -Sesquiphellandrene β -Sesquiphellandrene β -Sesquiphellandrene $(E)-\beta$ -Ocimene 2,5-Dimethoxybenzene Phenol Acetic acid, methyl ester Ethyl acetate Acetic acid, phenylmethyl ester β -Geranylacetone β -Methyl-5-heptene-2-one (E)-3-Penten-2-0ne (E)-3-Penten-2-0ne (E)-3	CompoundsClassCompoundsClassCompoundsClassCompoundsClassBenzyl alcoholAlcoholsBenzyl alcoholAlcoholsAlcoholsBenzaldehydeAlcoholsAlcoholsBenzeneacetaldehydeAldehydesI,11-DodecadieneAldehydesAltenesAlkenes \mathcal{L} -BergamoteneAlkenes \mathcal{L} -GrimethoxytolueneAlkenes \mathcal{L} -BrotimethoxytolueneAlkenes \mathcal{L} -DoimeneAlkenes \mathcal{L} -BrotimethoxytolueneAromatic \mathcal{L} -BrotimethoxCarboxylic acidsBenzolic acid, methyl esterEstersEthyl acetateEstersEthyl acetateEstersCompoundsCompoundsCarbon disulfideSulfur-containingCarbon disulfideSulfur-containingCarbon disulfideSulfur-containingCarbon disulfideSulfur-containingCarbon disulfideSulfur-containingCarbon disulfideSulfur-containingCarbon disulfideSulfur-containingCarbon disulfideSulfur-containingColsSulfur-containing	Comparison of the main volatile compounds in five water lily sampleCompoundsRI (ref) ^[a] CompoundsClassRI (ref) ^[a] Benzyl alcoholBenzyl alcoholBenzyl alcoholBenzyl alcoholBenzyl alcoholBenzyl alcoholBenzyl alcoholAlceholos962 ± 3BenzeneacetaldehydeAldehydes962 ± 3AlchehydesAlchehydes1045 ± 41,11-DodecadieneAlkenes1457 ± 2CF- β -DcimeneAlkenes1457 ± 2AlcenesAlkenes1453 ± 2(E)- β -DcimeneAlkenes1453 ± 5AlcentosAlkenes1454 ± 2(E)- β -DcimeneAlkenes1453 ± 5Alkenes1453 ± 5AltenbesAlkenes1454 ± 2(E)- β -DcimentoxytolueneAlkenes1453 ± 5Altenbes1453 ± 5AltenbesAltenbes1454 ± 2(E)- β -DcimentoxybenzeneAltenbes1454 ± 2(E)- β -DcimentoxybenzeneAltenbes1454 ± 2	Comparison of the main volatile compoundsRI (ref) ^[a] RI (ref) ^[a] <th row<="" td=""><td>Comparison of the main volatile compounds in five water filly samples.CompoundsClassRI (ref)^[a]RI (ref)^[a]CASBenzyl alcoholClassRI (ref)^[a]RI (ref)^[a]CASBenzaldehydeAlcehydes969100-52-7BenzaldehydeAlcehydes103 for 2.2.78-1Li)-Ø-BerzaldehydeAlcehydes1045 ±21506502-61-4F)-Ø-FanneseneAlkenes14533876-87-9Alkenes1457 ±214533876-87-9Alkenes145313744-234-349-44β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes14533876-87-9β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes13744-22459-584-7β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes13744-22459-584-7β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes13742-137β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes13742-132β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes13742-132β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes13742-258-54-14β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes<th< td=""><td>Comparison of the main volatile compounds in five water IIIy samples.Comparison of the main volatile compoundsClassRI (ref)^[a]RI (cal)^[b]CASIonCompoundsClassRI (ref)^[a]RI (cal)^[b]CASIonBenzyl alcoholAlcehydes$900 \pm 2$$900 \pm 52.7$$77$BenzaldehydeAlcehydes$900 \pm 2$$900 \pm 52.7$$77$BenzaldehydeAlcehydes$900 \pm 2$$100.51-6$$79$BenzaldehydeAlcehydes$900 \pm 2$$100.51-6$$79$BenzaldehydeAlcehydes$100.52 \pm 1$$41$Ch-β-fameseneAlkenes$1179 \pm 2$$10307$$122.781 \pm 3$<math>(E)-β-fameseneAlkenes$1437 \pm 2$$1237$$3279.61-1$$93$<math>(E)-β-fameseneAlkenes$1437 \pm 2$$1237$$3279.61-1$$93$<math>(E)-β-fameseneAlkenes$1254 \pm 2$$1237$$3279.61-1$$93$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1254 \pm 2$$1237$$3279.61-1$$93$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1231 \pm 3$$1377$$137$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1254 \pm 2$$1237$$32679.87-4$$137$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1231 \pm 3$$1437$$32499$$379-61-1$$375$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1231 \pm 5$$2499$$24599.58-4$$137$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1231 \pm 5$$2499$$24599.58$</math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\ Comparison of the main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample in the main volatile compounds in the water lip sample in the main volatile compounds in the main volatile compounds in the water lip sample in the main volatile compounds in the main volatile compound in the main volatil$</td></th<></td></th>	<td>Comparison of the main volatile compounds in five water filly samples.CompoundsClassRI (ref)^[a]RI (ref)^[a]CASBenzyl alcoholClassRI (ref)^[a]RI (ref)^[a]CASBenzaldehydeAlcehydes969100-52-7BenzaldehydeAlcehydes103 for 2.2.78-1Li)-Ø-BerzaldehydeAlcehydes1045 ±21506502-61-4F)-Ø-FanneseneAlkenes14533876-87-9Alkenes1457 ±214533876-87-9Alkenes145313744-234-349-44β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes14533876-87-9β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes13744-22459-584-7β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes13744-22459-584-7β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes13742-137β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes13742-132β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes13742-132β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes13742-258-54-14β-GostuphellandreneAlkenes<th< td=""><td>Comparison of the main volatile compounds in five water IIIy samples.Comparison of the main volatile compoundsClassRI (ref)^[a]RI (cal)^[b]CASIonCompoundsClassRI (ref)^[a]RI (cal)^[b]CASIonBenzyl alcoholAlcehydes$900 \pm 2$$900 \pm 52.7$$77$BenzaldehydeAlcehydes$900 \pm 2$$900 \pm 52.7$$77$BenzaldehydeAlcehydes$900 \pm 2$$100.51-6$$79$BenzaldehydeAlcehydes$900 \pm 2$$100.51-6$$79$BenzaldehydeAlcehydes$100.52 \pm 1$$41$Ch-β-fameseneAlkenes$1179 \pm 2$$10307$$122.781 \pm 3$<math>(E)-β-fameseneAlkenes$1437 \pm 2$$1237$$3279.61-1$$93$<math>(E)-β-fameseneAlkenes$1437 \pm 2$$1237$$3279.61-1$$93$<math>(E)-β-fameseneAlkenes$1254 \pm 2$$1237$$3279.61-1$$93$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1254 \pm 2$$1237$$3279.61-1$$93$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1231 \pm 3$$1377$$137$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1254 \pm 2$$1237$$32679.87-4$$137$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1231 \pm 3$$1437$$32499$$379-61-1$$375$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1231 \pm 5$$2499$$24599.58-4$$137$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1231 \pm 5$$2499$$24599.58$</math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\ Comparison of the main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample in the main volatile compounds in the water lip sample in the main volatile compounds in the main volatile compounds in the water lip sample in the main volatile compounds in the main volatile compound in the main volatil$</td></th<></td>	Comparison of the main volatile compounds in five water filly samples.CompoundsClassRI (ref) ^[a] RI (ref) ^[a] CASBenzyl alcoholClassRI (ref) ^[a] RI (ref) ^[a] CASBenzaldehydeAlcehydes969100-52-7BenzaldehydeAlcehydes103 for 2.2.78-1Li)-Ø-BerzaldehydeAlcehydes1045 ±21506502-61-4F)-Ø-FanneseneAlkenes14533876-87-9Alkenes1457 ±214533876-87-9Alkenes145313744-234-349-44 β -GostuphellandreneAlkenes14533876-87-9 β -GostuphellandreneAlkenes13744-22459-584-7 β -GostuphellandreneAlkenes13744-22459-584-7 β -GostuphellandreneAlkenes13742-137 β -GostuphellandreneAlkenes13742-132 β -GostuphellandreneAlkenes13742-132 β -GostuphellandreneAlkenes13742-258-54-14 β -GostuphellandreneAlkenes <th< td=""><td>Comparison of the main volatile compounds in five water IIIy samples.Comparison of the main volatile compoundsClassRI (ref)^[a]RI (cal)^[b]CASIonCompoundsClassRI (ref)^[a]RI (cal)^[b]CASIonBenzyl alcoholAlcehydes$900 \pm 2$$900 \pm 52.7$$77$BenzaldehydeAlcehydes$900 \pm 2$$900 \pm 52.7$$77$BenzaldehydeAlcehydes$900 \pm 2$$100.51-6$$79$BenzaldehydeAlcehydes$900 \pm 2$$100.51-6$$79$BenzaldehydeAlcehydes$100.52 \pm 1$$41$Ch-β-fameseneAlkenes$1179 \pm 2$$10307$$122.781 \pm 3$<math>(E)-β-fameseneAlkenes$1437 \pm 2$$1237$$3279.61-1$$93$<math>(E)-β-fameseneAlkenes$1437 \pm 2$$1237$$3279.61-1$$93$<math>(E)-β-fameseneAlkenes$1254 \pm 2$$1237$$3279.61-1$$93$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1254 \pm 2$$1237$$3279.61-1$$93$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1231 \pm 3$$1377$$137$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1254 \pm 2$$1237$$32679.87-4$$137$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1231 \pm 3$$1437$$32499$$379-61-1$$375$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1231 \pm 5$$2499$$24599.58-4$$137$<math>(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes$1231 \pm 5$$2499$$24599.58$</math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\ Comparison of the main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample in the main volatile compounds in the water lip sample in the main volatile compounds in the main volatile compounds in the water lip sample in the main volatile compounds in the main volatile compound in the main volatil$</td></th<>	Comparison of the main volatile compounds in five water IIIy samples.Comparison of the main volatile compoundsClassRI (ref) ^[a] RI (cal) ^[b] CASIonCompoundsClassRI (ref) ^[a] RI (cal) ^[b] CASIonBenzyl alcoholAlcehydes 900 ± 2 900 ± 52.7 77 BenzaldehydeAlcehydes 900 ± 2 900 ± 52.7 77 BenzaldehydeAlcehydes 900 ± 2 $100.51-6$ 79 BenzaldehydeAlcehydes 900 ± 2 $100.51-6$ 79 BenzaldehydeAlcehydes 100.52 ± 1 41 Ch-β-fameseneAlkenes 1179 ± 2 10307 122.781 ± 3 $(E)-β-fameseneAlkenes1437 \pm 212373279.61-193(E)-β-fameseneAlkenes1437 \pm 212373279.61-193(E)-β-fameseneAlkenes1254 \pm 212373279.61-193(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes1254 \pm 212373279.61-193(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes1231 \pm 31377137(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes1254 \pm 2123732679.87-4137(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes1231 \pm 3143732499379-61-1375(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes1231 \pm 5249924599.58-4137(E)-β-famethoxytolueneAlkenes1231 \pm 5249924599.58$				$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \ Comparison of the main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample. The main volatile compounds in the water lip sample in the main volatile compounds in the water lip sample in the main volatile compounds in the main volatile compounds in the water lip sample in the main volatile compounds in the main volatile compound in the main volatil$

Blue Bird'; DE, N. ż ñ ב õ σ type ogo ŝ alkar RI, Retention index, Ion, Qu calculated from C8-C40 n-a 'Detective Erika'.

Metabolomic profiling of water lily

Beverage Plant Research



Fig. 6 The partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) of the volatile compounds. (a) Score plot of PLS-DA. (b) The loading plot of PLS-DA. (c) Variable importance in the project (VIP) plot of PLS-DA. Note: NL, *N. lotus*; NR, *N. rubra*; TD, *Nymphaea* 'Texas Dawn'; BB, *Nymphaea* 'Blue Bird'; DE, *Nymphaea* 'Detective Erika'.

a significant role in co-pigmentation^[26,27]. According to reports, *N. lotus* stamen extracts contain a higher concentration of flavonoids compared to perianth extracts^[22]. The flavonoids identified in the stamen extracts include kaempferol 3-*O*-galactoside, quercetin 3'-*O*-xyloside, quercetin 3'-*O*-xyloside, isorhamnetin 7-*O*-galactoside, and myricetin 3'-*O*-xyloside. Another study indicated that the content of flavonoids in the petals of the *N. alba* flower is significantly higher than in the stem and root^[28]. In addition, a large number of phenolic acids, for example, caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid, p-coumaric acid have been identified in water lilies^[12]. Our present study identified various flavonoid glycosides with glucose, rhamnose, galactose, and arabinose as the major sugar ligands. As a result, the number of detected flavonoids and their glycosides in water lilies has significantly expanded. Among them, quercetin,

kaempferol, apigenin, myricetin, and luteolin were identified as the five major flavonols present in water lilies. In addition, many previous studies have reported the antioxidant potential of water lily extracts that are associated with the accumulation of its phytochemicals, especially flavonoids^[5,7,29]. Therefore, the variations in the composition of flavonoids among distinct water lily species and varieties, as revealed in this investigation, are expected to provide valuable insights into their diverse antioxidant properties.

Water lilies not only exhibit a wide variety of colors throughout their flowering period but also emit a captivating fragrance, which has garnered significant attention among researchers in recent studies^[2,30]. Among the seven species of *N.* subg. *Hydrocallis* (Nymphaeaceae), *N. lotus* was only found to contain detectable levels of 2,5-dimethoxytoluene, and the content

Metabolomic profiling of water lily

was notably high^[16]. This is consistent with the results of our study. Dimethyl sulfide, a group of sulfur-containing compounds, was detected at significant concentrations in all five water lilies. Notably, it has been identified as a crucial aromatic volatile in green tea^[31] and mandarin juices^[32], and even in trace amounts, it contributes to the development of sulfurous notes. Benzyl alcohol, renowned for its delightful floral and sweet essence, has been extensively documented in various Nymphaea species^[2]. Its relative concentration in the DE samples was 23.83% of the total volatiles. This high concentration may be the main reason for the sweet and floral aroma of the DE samples. Additionally, according to the report, 2,3butanedione is known for its buttery, sweet, and creamy flavor, which imparts a cheese-like taste to soy milk^[33]. Our research findings indicate that the BB samples had the highest concentration of 2,3-butanedione, which may be responsible for its sweet and creamy flavor, while it was not detected in the NL sample.

In addition, previous studies reported that the flower aroma of the cold-resistant water lily is influenced by nerolidol and lilac alcohols, with orange and clove flower aromas, while the aroma of tropical water lilies is influenced by ethyl benzoate, acetic acid phenylmethyl ester, and 2-heptadecanone, which provide a fruity and sweet flower aroma^[2]. Moreover, the primary volatile compounds identified in the flowers of Nymphaea hybrida were benzyl alcohol, benzyl acetate, benzaldehyde, (E)- α -bergamotene, and these may constitute its main fragrant components^[34]. We observed that the NL sample releases a greater proportion of aromatic compounds than the other samples, which may account for its fruity and sweet flavor. In addition, (E)- α -Bergamotene, (E)- β -famesene and 11 other different volatile compounds were reported in N. colorata flowers, which may serve as olfactory cues for insect pollinators^[35]. (E)- β -famesene and α -farnesene possess woody, citrus, and herbal aromatic attributes, was found to be more pronounced in BB samples, accounting for 18.28% and 14.44% of the total volatile composition, respectively. These compounds are likely responsible for the woody fragrance observed in BB samples. Overall, the varying concentrations and relative amounts of volatile compounds contribute to the rich and diverse fragrance qualities of these five water lily species and varieties.

Conclusions

To sum up, our study utilized advanced widely targeted metabolomics to comprehensively investigate the non-volatile and volatile components in five water lily species and varieties. The results revealed significant differences in the composition and abundance of metabolites among the different colors of water lily samples. Regarding non-volatile components, we found that cyanidin-type anthocyanins were abundant in the purple-colored DE samples, while delphinidin-derived anthocyanins were prominent in BB, which exhibited a blue color. Flavonoids, phenolic acids, amino acids, lipids, and organic acids were found to be the dominant non-volatile metabolites, expanding our knowledge of their metabolic profile. Pathway enrichment analysis of the differential compounds indicated the involvement of various metabolic pathways such as flavonoid biosynthesis and anthocyanin biosynthesis in water lily metabolism. In terms of volatile components, water lilies contain diverse volatile compounds, including aromatic compounds, alkynes, ketones, alcohols, esters, and aldehydes. Among these, the concentration ofkey compounds including 1,11-dodecadiene, benzyl alcohol, benzaldehyde, α -farnesene and dimethyl sulfide, showed significant differences among samples. By investigating both non-volatile and volatile metabolites, we have obtained a comprehensive understanding of the metabolic pathways and interplay within the water lily species and varieties. Nevertheless, the intricate mechanisms underlying the synthesis and release of metabolites in water lilies warrant further investigation.

Author contributions

The authors confirm contribution to the paper as follows: study conception and design: Chen Y, Lv H; data collection: Wei J, Wu Y; resources: Chen S, Yu C; analysis and interpretation of results: Lin Z, Zhu Y; draft manuscript preparation: Yang G. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to management requests, but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Science and Technology Innovation Project of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS-ASTIP-2014-TRICAAS) for financial support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at (https://www.maxapress.com/article/doi/10.48130/bpr-0024-0005)

Dates

Received 9 November 2023; Revised 21 December 2023; Accepted 10 January 2024; Published online 3 April 2024

References

- Plants of the World Online. 2023. Nymphaea L. Kew Science. http://powo.science.kew.org/taxon/urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:33003 2-2
- Zhou Q, Shi M, Zhang H, Zhu Z. 2022. Comparative study of the petal structure and fragrance components of the Nymphaea hybrid, a precious water lily. *Molecules* 27:408
- Yuan R, 2014. Studies on the composition of volatiles in different cultivars of water lily and functional component and antioxidant activity evaluation in its tea. Thesis. Nanjing Agriculture University, China. pp. 1–2.
- Zhao Y, Fan YY, Yu WG, Wang J, Lu W, et al. 2019. Ultrasoundenhanced subcritical fluid extraction of essential oil from Nymphaea alba var and its antioxidant activity. Journal of AOAC International 102:1448–54

- Abelti AL, Teka TA, Bultosa G. 2023. Review on edible water lilies and lotus: Future food, nutrition and their health benefits. *Applied Food Research* 3:100264
- Wang Z, Cheng Y, Zeng M, Wang Z, Qin F, et al. 2021. Lotus (*Nelumbo nucifera* Gaertn) leaf: A narrative review of its Phytoconstituents, health benefits and food industry applications. *Trends in Food Science & Technology* 112:631–50
- Yin DD, Yuan RY, Wu Q, Li SS, Shao S, et al. 2015. Assessment of flavonoids and volatile compounds in tea infusions of water lily flowers and their antioxidant activities. *Food Chemistry* 187:20–28
- Zhao Y, Zhou W, Chen Y, Li Z, Song X, et al. 2021. Metabolite analysis in Nymphaea 'Blue Bird' petals reveal the roles of flavonoids in color formation, stress amelioration, and bee orientation. *Plant* Science 312:111025
- Shen S, Zhan C, Yang C, Fernie AR, Luo J. 2023. Metabolomicscentered mining of plant metabolic diversity and function: Past decade and future perspectives. *Molecular Plant* 16:43–63
- Farag MA, Elmetwally F, Elghanam R, Kamal N, Hellal K, et al. 2023. Metabolomics in tea products; a compile of applications for enhancing agricultural traits and quality control analysis of *Camellia sinensis. Food Chemistry* 404:134628
- Divekar PA, Narayana S, Divekar BA, Kumar R, Gadratagi BG, et al. 2022. Plant secondary metabolites as defense tools against herbivores for sustainable crop protection. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 23:2690
- Anand A, Komati A, Katragunta K, Shaik H, Nagendla NK, et al. 2021. Phytometabolomic analysis of boiled rhizome of *Nymphaea nouchali* (Burm. f.) using UPLC-Q-TOF-MS^E, LC-QqQ-MS & GC–MS and evaluation of antihyperglycemic and antioxidant activities. *Food Chemistry* 342:128313
- Wu Q, Wu J, Li SS, Zhang HJ, Feng CY, et al. 2016. Transcriptome sequencing and metabolite analysis for revealing the blue flower formation in waterlily. *BMC Genomics* 17:897
- Wang H, Hua J, Yu Q, Li J, Wang J, et al. 2021. Widely targeted metabolomic analysis reveals dynamic changes in non-volatile and volatile metabolites during green tea processing. *Food Chemistry* 363:130131
- Zhou J, Fang T, Li W, Jiang Z, Zhou T, Zhang L, et al. 2022. Widely targeted metabolomics using UPLC-QTRAP-MS/MS reveals chemical changes during the processing of black tea from the cultivar *Camellia sinensis* (L.) O. Kuntze cv. Huangjinya. *Food Research International* 162:112169
- Maia ACD, de Lima CT, Navarro DM do AF, Chartier M, Giulietti AM, et al. 2014. The floral scents of *Nymphaea* subg. *Hydrocallis* (Nymphaeaceae), the new world night-blooming water lilies, and their relation with putative pollinators. *Phytochemistry* 103:67–75
- Yuan R, Li S, Zheng X, Wu Q, Zhang H, et al. 2014. Determination of volatiles in water lily flowers using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Analytical Letters* 47:1541–51
- Yu M, Yang P, Song H, Guan X. 2022. Research progress in comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography-mass spectrometry and its combination with olfactometry systems in the flavor analysis field. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis* 114:104790
- Shi J, Zhu Y, Zhang Y, Lin Z, Lv HP. 2019. Volatile composition of Fu-brick tea and Pu-erh tea analyzed by comprehensive twodimensional gas chromatography-time-of-flight mass spectrometry. LWT 103:27–33
- 20. Chen W, Gong L, Guo Z, Wang W, Zhang H, et al. 2013. A novel integrated method for large-scale detection, identification, and quantification of widely targeted metabolites: Application in the study of rice metabolomics. *Molecular Plant* 6:1769–80
- 21. Shi Y, Zhu Y, Ma W, Shi J, Peng Q, et al. 2022. Comprehensive investigation on non-volatile and volatile metabolites in four types of

green teas obtained from the same tea cultivar of Longjing 43 (*Camellia sinensis* var. sinensis) using the widely targeted metabolomics. *Food Chemistry* 394:133501

- 22. Tungmunnithum D, Drouet S, Garros L, Hano C. 2022. Differential flavonoid and other phenolic accumulations and antioxidant activities of *Nymphaea lotus* L. populations throughout Thailand. *Molecules* 27:3590
- Castañeda-Ovando A, Pacheco-Hernández MDL, Páez-Hernández ME, Rodríguez JA, Galán-Vidal CA. 2009. Chemical studies of anthocyanins: A review. *Food Chemistry* 113:859–71
- Lev-Yadun S, Gould KS. 2009. Role of anthocyanins in plant defence. In Anthocyanins, eds. Winefield C, Davies K, Gould K. New York: Springer. pp. 22–28. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-77335-3_2
- Zhu M, Zheng X, Shu Q, Li H, Zhong P, et al. 2012. Relationship between the composition of flavonoids and flower colors variation in tropical water lily (*Nymphaea*) cultivars. *PLoS ONE* 7:e34335
- Ono E, Ruike M, Iwashita T, Nomoto K, Fukui Y. 2010. Co-pigmentation and flavonoid glycosyltransferases in blue *Veronica persica* flowers. *Phytochemistry* 71:726–35
- 27. Shi J, Simal-Gandara J, Mei J, Ma W, Peng Q, et al. 2021. Insight into the pigmented anthocyanins and the major potential copigmented flavonoids in purple-coloured leaf teas. *Food Chemistry* 363:130278
- Cudalbeanu M, Ghinea IO, Furdui B, Dah-Nouvlessounon D, Raclea R, et al. 2018. Exploring new antioxidant and mineral compounds from Nymphaea alba wild-grown in danube delta biosphere. Molecules 23:1247
- 29. Bakr RO, El-Naa MM, Zaghloul SS, Omar MM. 2017. Profile of bioactive compounds in *Nymphaea alba* L. leaves growing in Egypt: hepatoprotective, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activity. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine* 17:52
- 30. Xiong X, Zhang J, Yang Y, Chen Y, Su Q, et al. 2023. Water lily research: Past, present, and future. *Tropical Plants* 2:1
- Yin P, Kong YS, Liu PP, Wang JJ, Zhu Y, et al. 2022. A critical review of key odorants in green tea: Identification and biochemical formation pathway. *Trends in Food Science & Technology* 129:221–32
- 32. Cheng Y, Han L, Huang L, Tan X, Wu H, et al. 2023. Association between flavor composition and sensory profile in thermally processed mandarin juices by multidimensional gas chromatography and multivariate statistical analysis. *Food Chemistry* 419:136026
- 33. Zheng Y, Fei Y, Yang Y, Jin Z, Yu B, et al. 2020. A potential flavor culture: *Lactobacillus harbinensis* M1 improves the organoleptic quality of fermented soymilk by high production of 2,3-butanedione and acetoin. *Food Microbiology* 91:103540
- Zhou Q, Zeng Y, Shan J, Zhu Z. 2019. Study of volatiles from flowers of Nymphaea hybrida by HS-SPME-GC-MS. Chemistry of Natural Compounds 55:951–52
- 35. Zhang L, Chen F, Zhang X, Li Z, Zhao Y, et al. 2020. The water lily genome and the early evolution of flowering plants. *Nature* 577:79–84



Copyright: © 2024 by the author(s). Published by Maximum Academic Press, Fayetteville, GA. This

article is an open access article distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), visit https://creative-commons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.