### **Open Access**

https://doi.org/10.48130/tp-0024-0015 Tropical Plants **2024**, 3: e016

# An investigation of germplasm resources and phylogenetic analysis of finger lime in Hainan Province, China

### Authors

Wei Lv<sup>#</sup>, You Zhang<sup>#</sup>, Joel B. Johnson, Qamar U. Zaman, Mei-Hui Zhu, ..., Hua-Feng Wang<sup>\*</sup>

### Correspondence

hfwang@hainanu.edu.cn

### In Brief

This study systematically analyzed 42 test traits of nine finger limes from southern China, including trees, thorns, flowers, and fruits. The tested traits include plant characteristics, phenology, fruit comparison, and evaluation, to better understand the characteristics and traits of different varieties of finger limes, and provide a reference basis for formulating cultivation and management measures in production.



## Highlights

- Finger lime varieties are divided into two groups based on skin color: dark and light varieties.
- Under consistent cultivation and management conditions, Xiangbinhong appears to be the most suitable variety for commercial production. The Xuemeng variety is very suitable for use as ornamental shrubs or grafting rootstocks.
- The chloroplast genome of lime presents a typical tetrad structure of angiosperms, consisting of a single copy region of the same size and a pair of separated reverse repeat regions.

**Citation:** Lv W, Zhang Y, Johnson JB, Zaman QU, Zhu MH, et al. 2024. An investigation of germplasm resources and phylogenetic analysis of finger lime in Hainan Province, China. *Tropical Plants* 3: e016 https://doi.org/10.48130/tp-0024-0015

### **Open Access**

https://doi.org/10.48130/tp-0024-0015 Tropical Plants **2024**, 3: e016

# An investigation of germplasm resources and phylogenetic analysis of finger lime in Hainan Province, China

Wei Lv<sup>1,2,3#</sup>, You Zhang<sup>1,2,3#</sup>, Joel B. Johnson<sup>4</sup>, Qamar U. Zaman<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Mei-Hui Zhu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Qiao Wang<sup>5</sup>, Bing Chen<sup>5</sup>, Yuan Li<sup>5</sup>, Yi-Shun Huang<sup>5</sup> and Hua-Feng Wang<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sanya Nanfan Research Institute of Hainan University, Hainan Yazhou Bay Seed Laboratory, Hainan University, Sanya 572025, China

<sup>2</sup> College of Tropical Agricultural and Forestry, Hainan University, Haikou 570228, China

<sup>3</sup> Collaborative Innovation Center of Nanfan and High-Efficiency Tropical Agriculture, School of Tropical Crops, Hainan University, Haikou 570228, China

<sup>4</sup> Centre for Nutrition and Food Sciences, Queensland Alliance for Agriculture and Food Innovation, The University of Queensland, Health and Food Sciences Precinct, Coopers Plains, QLD 4108, Australia

<sup>5</sup> Hainan Shengda Modern Agriculture Development Co., Ltd., Qionghai 571425, China

<sup>#</sup> Authors contributed equally: Wei Lv, You Zhang

\* Corresponding author, E-mail: hfwang@hainanu.edu.cn

#### Abstract

The finger lime [*Microcitrus australasica* (F. Muell.) Swingle] is a small tree with high economic, culinary, and ornamental value, originating in the rainforests of eastern Australia. This study collected and comprehensively evaluated nine finger lime varieties, characterizing 42 traits across all plant parts (tree, thorns, flowers, fruit). The tested traits include botanical characteristics, phenological period, fruit comparison, and evaluation, to better understand the characteristics and differences between varieties, and provide reference data for the development of production technologies. The main results are summarized in the following points: (1) The nine finger lime varieties were divided into two groups according to the color of the fruit peel: the dark varieties (Lvbaoshi, Wokepu, Xiaolubi, Xiangbinhong, and Yaoji) and the light varieties (Lvshuijing, Meiweilv, Hongbaoshi, and Xuemeng). (2) Under consistent cultivation and management conditions, Xiangbinhong appears to be the most suitable variety for commercial production. This variety displays excellent qualities for fresh fruit production, including its moderate fruit size, thin peel, high sweetness, and delicate flavor. Additionally, the Xuemeng variety produced round, green fruit – albeit of an average flavor – making it quite suitable for use as an ornamental shrub or grafting stock. (3) The chloroplast genome of the finger lime showed a typical angiosperm tetrad structure, consisting of a large and small single-copy region and a separated pair of reverse repeat regions. The other varieties of chloroplast genome appear to be descended from a common ancestor and are most closely related to *C. medica* and *C. indica*.

Citation: Lv W, Zhang Y, Johnson JB, Zaman QU, Zhu MH, et al. 2024. An investigation of germplasm resources and phylogenetic analysis of finger lime in Hainan Province, China. *Tropical Plants* 3: e016 https://doi.org/10.48130/tp-0024-0015

### Introduction

The finger lime [Microcitrus australasica (F. Muell.) Swingle] is a shrub to small tree of the Rutaceae family, bearing edible fruit<sup>[1]</sup>. It is native to the subtropical rainforest of eastern Australia, mainly concentrated in small areas of northern New South Wales and southeastern Queensland rainforests. A few countries, including France, the United States, and Japan, introduced finger limes into plantations for cultivation in the earlyto mid-20<sup>th</sup> century<sup>[2]</sup>. Subsequently, the species was introduced into China from the US in 1977. It was sown in the spring of 1978 and cultivated carefully for many years<sup>[3]</sup>. Later, it was successfully introduced and cultivated in Guangxi, and began to be cultivated in sporadic plantations. During this time, a few cultivation and planting studies were conducted in Yunnan, Guangdong, Hainan, Hubei and other southern regions of China<sup>[4]</sup>. Since 2011, Hainan Province has been the center of finger lime introduction and cultivation. After 10 years of effort, nine varieties of excellent commercial varieties suitable for domestic cultivation have been selected and cultivated, and more than 300 acres have been industrially planted in Qionghai. This now forms the largest center of finger lime production in Asia.

Finger lime flesh is made up of small round pearls, which impart the fruit's characteristic taste and visual appeal. Finger limes have been adopted and promoted by celebrity chefs around the world for their unique aroma, caviar-like appearance, and refreshing taste. It exists in a variety of colors, including yellow, red, pink, purple, black, blue, and green, among others, making it very versatile and popular for food decoration purposes. Finger limes enjoy a high price in the domestic and international markets, usually fetching between 600 and 700 CNY per kg (US\$85–100 per kg), which reflects the high market demand<sup>[5]</sup>.

However, despite the overall success of finger lime cultivation in China, some challenges still stand in the way of the industry's expansion. These include a lack of information on the composition and acidity genes of the fresh fruit, the classification criteria for different varieties of finger lemons are vague, and the need for more knowledge about ideal cultivation techniques for different varieties. Phylogenetic studies can provide evidence of the relationship between different varieties of finger limes. The results of this study can provide a theoretical basis and tool for the study of genetic diversity conservation and phylogenetic relationships among finger limes. Addressing

these issues requires a comprehensive analysis of the quality and genetic resources available in current varieties, to ensure the ongoing sustainability of finger lime production in China.

#### Analysis and results

# Botanical characteristics of different finger lime varieties

# Comparison of shape characteristics of different varieties of finger lime plants

As shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1, there was a wide range of variation in tree height, trunk thickness, and branch thickness among the nine finger lime varieties. The highest average tree height was seen for Hongbaoshi (297 cm) and the lowest for Xiaolubi (91.6 cm), with a coefficient of variation (CV) of 33.2% between all varieties. There was less variation in trunk thickness, which varied from 15.8 cm (in Hongbaoshi) to 32.6 cm (in Lvbaoshi). The coefficient of variation for trunk thickness was 24.7%. Branch thickness showed a similar variation, with a CV of 24.4%, and a range from 4.9 mm (Lvbaoshi) to 8.7 mm (Hongbaoshi). While taller varieties tended to have thicker trunks and branches, this correlation was only significant for tree height and trunk thickness ( $R_7 = 0.735$ , p < 0.05).

Another notable morphological characteristic of *C. australa*sica is the numerous thorns borne along the branches, although varying considerably in the size and distribution of the thorns. All nine finger lime varieties investigated in this study had cone-shaped thorns, although their average length ranged from 6.4 mm in Lvbaoshi to 12.1 mm in Hongbaoshi, with a CV of 24.1%. The highest number thorn density was seen in Meiweilv (18–24 thorns per branch), followed by Yaoji (16–22 thorns). Most other varieties generally bore 12–20 thorns per branch. Notably, the Xuemeng variety only bore 9–14 thorns per branch.

The average distance between thorns ranged from 21.8 mm in Xuemeng to 14.5 mm in Wokepu, with a CV of 17.6% between varieties. There was a negative correlation between

**Table 1.** Comparison of morphological characteristics of finger lime plants of different varieties.

Variety	Tree height (cm)	Trunk thickness (cm)	Branch thickness (mm)	Distance between thorns (mm)	No. of thorns per branch	Spine length (mm)
Lvbaoshi	126	15.8	4.9	18.5	11-18	12.1
Xiangbinhong	161.2	24.6	5.1	20.9	12-20	6.9
Wokepu	200	20.2	6.8	14.5	15-20	10.3
Yaoji	186.2	29.6	5.7	14.7	16-22	7.7
Meiweilv	211.8	21.8	6.0	15.3	18-24	11.3
Xuemeng	146.8	21.6	8.2	21.8	9-14	12.0
Xiaolubi	91.6	20.2	6.4	16.8	12-18	6.4
Hongbaoshi	297	32.6	8.7	20.5	12-17	9.4
Lvshuijing	144	20.2	6.2	17.1	15-19	11.1



Fig. 1 Appearance of the nine finger lime varieties: (a) Hongbaoshi, (b) Lvbaoshi, (c) Lvshuijing, (d) Meiweilv, (e) Wokepu, (f) Xiangbinhong, (g) Xiaolubi, (h) Xuemeng, (i) Yaoji.

#### Tropical Plants

the number of thorns per branch and the average distance between thorns, but no correlation with the average spine length of the thorns.

#### Leaf morphology of the finger lime varieties

Most finger lime varieties had obovate shaped leaves, although some tended toward elliptic in shape (Fig. 2). The leaves of three varieties (Wokepu, Yaoji, and Xuemeng) were borne on petioles, while the leaves of the other varieties did not show any significant petioles.

The leaf size also showed considerable differences between varieties, ranging from an average length of 26.2 mm and width of 15.2 mm in Xiangbinhong, to 7.6 mm with and 13.5 mm length in Xiaolubi (Table 2). The typical length for most other varieties was 21–23 mm, with a width of 11–13 mm. Consequently, while the leaf size may be useful in distinguishing between some varieties, it cannot be the main standard used to discriminate between finger lime varieties.

#### Comparison of flower morphology of different finger lime varieties

There was a small variation in the flower bud size between varieties, as shown in Table 3. Again, Xiangbinhong had the largest sized flower buds (6.3 mm diameter, on average), while Xiaolubi had the smallest (5.3 mm), and most other varieties were approximately 6 mm in diameter.

The flower bud size was not directly correlated with the petal length, as Hongbaoshi and Lvbaoshi showed the longest petals (8.5 mm) and Xiaolubi the shortest (7.7 mm). Xiaolubi also had the shortest style (7.4 mm average length), while the longest style belonged to Yaoji (8.1 mm). The coefficients of variation for petal and style length were 8.6% and 4.2%, respectively; indicative of minimal varietal differences in flower size.

However, there was a large variation in the relative position of the anthers and stigma, with values ranging from -0.21 to 0.58 mm and a coefficient of variation of 60.25%. Based on this parameter, the varieties could be divided into three groups: those where the stigma is lower than the anther, where the

**Table 2.** Comparison of the leaf morphology of different finger limevarieties.

Variety	Leaf width (mm)	Leaf length (mm)	Presence of petiole
Lvbaoshi	14.6	22.3	Absent
Xiangbinhong	15.2	26.6	Absent
Wokepu	12.7	22.0	Present
Yaoji	12.8	20.6	Present
Meiweilv	12.4	22.9	Absent
Xuemeng	11.3	25.9	Present
Xiaolubi	7.6	13.5	Absent
Hongbaoshi	13.5	23.2	Absent
Lyshuiiina	10.5	22.5	Absent

stigma is level with the anther, and where the stigma is higher than the anther. Hongbaoshi fell into the last group, with the stigma almost 0.6 mm above the anther, whereas the stigma of Xuemeng was 0.21 mm lower than the anther. Other varieties such as Yaoji and Xiangbinhong had stigmas and anthers of similar lengths.

The Xuemeng variety had four petals per flower; all other varieties had three petals. Other aspects of the bud and flower morphology were similar between varieties. The flower buds were shaped like a flattened sphere; with a rounded apex (Fig. 3).

# Comparison of appearance characteristics of finger lime fruits of different varieties

Most varieties bore green-skinned fruit, with Meiweilv the closest to a standard green color (see Table 4 & Fig. 4). The skin of Lvbaoshi, Wokepu, and Xiaolubi tended towards a dark green colour, while Lvshuijing, Hongbaoshi, and Xuemeng were light green. A few other varieties had black to red-black skin.

The shape of the fruit also varied; ranging from cylindrical (Lvbaoshi), oval (Yaoji, Hongbaoshi, and Xiaolubi), elongated (Xiangbinhong, Wokepu, and Lvshuijing), shallow arched (Meiweilv), and almost spherical (Xuemeng).

The shape was also closely linked to the presence of the 'tail'like protrusion at the end of the fruit. The fruit which had a shallow bow or elongated shape tended to bear a protrusion, while the other fruit did not. The final morphological characteristic of the fruit was the visibility of the oil glands on the fruit skin. The Lvbaoshi and Xiangbinhong varieties did not show any obvious oil glands, while these could be seen clearly in all other varieties.

Table 3.	Comparison	of	morphological	characteristics	of	finger	lime
flowers of di	ifferent varieti	es.					

Variety	Bud length (mm)	Petal length (mm)	Style length (mm)	Position relationship between anther and stigma (mm)
Lvbaoshi	5.5	8.5	8.1	0.13-0.41
Xiangbinhong	6.3	8.3	8.3	0.11-0.26
Wokepu	5.7	8.3	8.1	0.23-0.42
Yaoji	6.1	8.4	8.4	0.12-0.21
Meiweilv	6.1	8.4	8.1	0.23-0.48
Xuemeng	5.9	8.0	7.8	-0.21-0.24
Xiaolubi	5.3	7.7	7.4	0.28-0.42
Hongbaoshi	6.1	8.5	8.2	0.36-0.58
Lvshuijing	6.0	8.3	8.3	0.12-0.23

The position relationship between anther and stigma is the minimum and maximum value, and other values are the average values of 30 samples randomly selected from five fruit trees.



Fig. 2 Comparison of leaf shape characteristics of different finger lime varieties (left to right: Meiweilv, Xiangbinhong, Yaoji, Wokepu, Hongbaoshi, Lvbaoshi, Xuemeng, Lvshuijing, Xiaolubi).



**Fig. 3** Appearance of the finger lime flower during budding (left) and flowering (right).

# Comparison of phenological performance of finger limes among different varieties

# Comparison of reproduction methods of different finger lime varieties

Finger limes can be propagated from cuttings from the branches, or through grafting of branches for cultivation. Cutting methods are more commonly used in northern China, as most limes are cultivated as potted plants. However, due to the abundant sunlight, slightly acidic soil, and good rainfall in Hainan, the species is usually propagated by grafting or grafted seedlings are directly purchased for cultivation. If grafted onto sturdy rootstock, the trees will generally bear fruit within 2–3 years.

**Table 4.** Comparison of morphological characteristics of finger lime fruit from different varieties.

Variety	Fruit color	Fruit shape	Whether the pericarp oil cell is obvious	Whether the tail protrudes
Lvbaoshi	Dark green	Cylindrical	Not obvious	No
Xiangbinhong	Black red	Elongated bar	Not obvious	Yes
Wokepu	Dark green	Elongated bar	Obvious	Yes
Yaoji	Black	Oval	Obvious	No
Meiweilv	Green	Shallow arched	Obvious	Yes
Xuemeng	Emerald green	Spherical	Obvious	No
Xiaolubi	Dark green	Oval	Obvious	No
Hongbaoshi	Emerald green	Oval	Obvious	No
Lvshuijing	Emerald green	Elongated bar	Obvious	Yes

At Hainan Shengda Modern Agricultural Development Co., Ltd., finger limes are cultivated using grafting propagation. *Fructus aurantii* is used as the grafting stock and is propagated from seed between mid-September and November.

# Comparison of the phenology of different finger lime varieties

Finger limes bloom 3-5 times per year; with each flowering episode lasting for around 7 d. The main blooming event



Fig. 4 Comparison of fruit shape characteristics of different finger lime varieties (left to right: Meiweilv, Xiangbinhong, Yaoji, Wokepu, Hongbaoshi, Lvbaoshi, Xuemeng, Lvshuijing, Xiaolubi).



Fig. 5 Comparison of the budding phenology of the finger lime varieties.

Lv et al. Tropical Plants 2024, 3: e016

occurs in spring, although the varieties began budding at different stages throughout this period (Fig. 5). In general, darker-skinned finger lime varieties appeared to commence flowering earlier, while lighter-colored varieties only began to bud later. Every year by April 20, most varieties begin to sprout, with the number of buds on Yaoji and Xiaolubi reaching their maxima in the following week (April 27<sup>th</sup>). The peak budding of light-colored varieties did not occur until later (May 4<sup>th</sup> to May 18<sup>th</sup>). Most varieties had a flowering period of about 55 d, with Hongbaoshi and Lvshuijing having the shortest flowering period of up to 60 d.

In addition to their flowering phenology, the finger lime varieties also varied in their flowering rates. Yaoji had the highest flowering rate of 95.7% (Table 5), while others such as Xiangbinhong, Hongbaoshi, and Lvshuijing all had flowering rates above 90%. At the other end of the scale, Lvbaoshi and Xuemeng had relatively low flowering rates, of approximately 78% and 71.5%, respectively. Nevertheless, these varieties tended to have a higher absolute number of buds and flowers, whereas varieties such as Yaoji had fewer buds and flowers.

# Comparison of fruiting stages of different finger lime varieties

The timing of fruiting among the finger lime varieties closely mirrored the budding and flowering phenology. Xiangbinhong, Wokepu, Yaoji and Hongbaoshi were the first to fruit, starting from April 20<sup>th</sup> (Fig. 6). The other varieties commenced fruiting

Table 5. Flowering of different finger lime varieties.

	-		
Variety	Total buds	Total flowers	Flowering rate
Lvbaoshi	109	85	78.0%
Xiangbinhong	97	89	91.8%
Wokepu	76	64	84.2%
Yaoji	47	45	95.7%
Meiweilv	55	46	83.6%
Xuemeng	123	88	71.5%
Xiaolubi	83	76	91.6%
Hongbaoshi	47	43	91.5%
Lvshuijing	54	49	90.7%

at the end of April or early May, in the general order of: Lvbaoshi > Meiweilv > Lvshuijing > Xuemeng > Hongbaoshi. Finger lime varieties with longer flowering periods also had longer fruiting periods. The longest fruiting period belonged to Yaoji, although the trees only produced an average of 33 fruit throughout this period; lower than most other varieties. In contrast, Hongbaoshi had a shorter fruiting period, but produced an average of 69 fruit per plant.

The highest rates of fruit set were seen in Xuemeng, Hongbaoshi, Lvbaoshi, and Xiangbinhong, with rates of 97.7%, 94.7%, 94.1%, and 93.3%, respectively (Table 6). Hongbaoshi, Yaoji and Wokepu had set rates of around 80%, while the poorest fruit set was seen in Meiweilv (73.9%) and Lvshuijing (71.4%). However, once the fruit were set, most varieties showed a very high proportion of fruit ripening, from 89.5% in Hongbaoshi to 96.3% in Lvbaoshi. Xuemeng bore the highest average number of fruit per plant (82 limes), followed by Xiangbinhong and Lvbaoshi.

Overall, it appeared that dark-skinned finger lime varieties entered flowering and fruiting stages earlier than light-skinned varieties. Although Yaoji showed very early flowering and fruiting, it produced relatively few fruit per plant, making it less suitable for commercial production. On the other hand, Xiangbinhong performed the best in both flowering and fruiting rates among all the varieties tested, making it a suitable variety to cultivate for fruit production.

**Table 6.** Set rates, ripening rates, and total fruit production of the fingerlime varieties.

Variety	Fruit set rate	Fruit ripening rate	Number of fruit per plant
Lvbaoshi	94.1%	96.3%	77
Xiangbinhong	93.3%	95.2%	79
Wokepu	79.7%	90.2%	46
Yaoji	80.0%	91.7%	33
Meiweilv	73.9%	94.1%	32
Xuemeng	97.7%	95.3%	82
Xiaolubi	94.7%	95.8%	69
Hongbaoshi	88.4%	89.5%	34
Lvshuijing	71.4%	91.4%	32



April 20th April 27th May 4th May 11th May 18th May 25th June 1st June 8th June 15th June 22th

Fig. 6 Phenology of fruiting in the nine finger lime varieties.

### Evaluation of different varieties of finger lime fruits

# Quality evaluation of different varieties of finger lime fruits

The heaviest fruits were produced by Hongbaoshi, which reached an average mass of 29.3 g (Table 7), with a length of 106.8 mm and diameter of 22.6 mm. Most other finger lime varieties had a fruit weight of around 10 g, while the smallest fruit were produced by Xiaolubi (4.7 g per fruit, with a length of 48.6 mm and a diameter of 11.3 mm). Xuemeng, which had been noted for its almost-spherical shape, had the largest diameter (25.7 mm).

The flesh color of the finger lime varieties fell into three main groups: green, red, and yellow (Fig 7). Among the red-fleshed finger limes, Yaoji had the darkest flesh, followed by Xiaolubi. Xiangbinhong and Hongbaoshi had lighter red flesh, appearing more pink. Among the green-fleshed finger limes, Lvbaoshi was the darkest, while Wokepu and Lvshuijing were the lightest. In these varieties, the flesh color was more transparent and closer to white/cream. Xuemeng was the only variety with a light yellow flesh.

The skin of Xiangbinhong, Wokepu, Meiweilv, and Hongbaoshi are looser, making them easier to peel, whereas Lvbaoshi, Yaoji, Xuemeng, Hongbaoshi, and Lvshuijing have a tighter skin and are harder to peel. As shown in Table 7, Hongbaoshi had the highest ratio of skin mass to fruit mass (approximately 55%), and followed by Hongbaoshi, (51%), while the lowest ratio was in Lvbaoshi (about 24%).

Most finger lime varieties contained around 10 seeds per fruit, while Xuemeng had only three seeds per fruit, and Yaoji had an average of 19.4 seeds per fruit.

# Flavor evaluation of different varieties of finger lime fruits

When juicing the finger lime samples prior before the measurement of acidity and sugar content, it was noted that the ease of juicing differed between varieties. Among the dark-skinned varieties, Lvbaoshi, Xiangbinhong, Wokepu, and Yaoji were all relatively easy to juice, while Hongbaoshi was more difficult to juice. Similarly, Meiweilv and Lvshuijing were the easiest to juice among the light-skinned varieties.

The sugar-acid ratio plays a significant role in determining the sweetness and flavor of citrus fruit. As shown in Table 8, Xiaolubi had the highest sugar content of 28.5%. Meiweilv had the second-highest, at 20.7%, while most other varieties had a content around 15%. Lvbaoshi had the lowest sugar content, at only 10.9%. Most finger lime varieties were strongly acidic, with

Table 7. Physical parameters of the finger lime varieties.

Variety	Length (mm)	Diameter (mm)	Fruit weight (g)	Peel weight (g)	Flesh color	Av. no. of seeds	Tightness of peel
Lvbaoshi	41.0	17.1	8.8	2.1	Green	9.6	Loose
Xiangbinhong	43.6	15.8	8.6	2.3	powder	15.0	Tight
Wokepu	61.3	17.8	14.0	6.0	White	13.0	Tight
Yaoji	48.7	18.2	10.7	3.3	Red	19.4	Loose
Meiweilv	58.4	13.4	6.3	2.8	Green	7.4	Tight
Xuemeng	32.2	25.7	13.5	6.1	Yellow	3.0	Loose
Xiaolubi	48.6	11.3	4.7	2.4	Red	8.4	Tight
Hongbaoshi	106.8	22.6	29.3	16.1	powder	14.6	Loose
Lvshuijing	58.7	19.4	10.7	5.0	White	11.6	Loose



Fig. 7 Comparison of the pulp color of the finger lime varieties. (a) Lvbaoshi, (b) Xiangbinhong, (c) Wokepu, (d) Yaoji, (e) Meiweilv, (f) Xuemeng, (g) Xiaolubi, (h) Hongbaoshi, (i) Lvshuijing.

Table 8. Fruit flavor evaluation of the finger lime varieties.

Variety	Ease of juicing	Sugar	Juice pH	Valve number	Edible portion (%)
Lvbaoshi	Easy	10.9%	2.33	6	73.1%
Xiangbinhong	Easy	17.4%	2.29	5	69.6%
Wokepu	Easy	15.7%	2.24	6	54.7%
Yaoji	Easy	12.7%	2.49	5	66.6%
Meiweilv	Easy	20.7%	2.84	6	51.1%
Xuemeng	Difficult	13.1%	2.54	8	52.1%
Xiaolubi	Difficult	28.5%	3.95	6	42.3%
Hongbaoshi	Difficult	11.3%	2.71	4	44.5%
Lvshuijing	Easy	14.5%	2.35	6	51.7%

a juice pH between 2.3 and 2.8. However, Xiaolubi had a much higher pH value of 3.95.

Most varieties had five or six valves in the fruit, although this ranged as low as four (Hongbaoshi) and as high as eight (Xuemeng). This may affect the perceived texture of the fruit.

#### Chloroplast genome structure and phylogeny

#### Basic information of chloroplast genome

This study conducted an in-depth analysis of the chloroplast genomes of different finger lime varieties, covering nine major varieties: 'Hongbaoshi', 'Lvbaoshi', 'Lvshuijing', 'Meiweilv', 'Wokepu', 'Xiangbinhong', 'Xiaolubi', 'Xuemeng', and 'Yaoji'. The research results show that although the length of chloroplast genome sequences varies slightly among these varieties, the differences are not significant (Fig. 8). Its length ranges from 160,335 bp [*Microcitrus australasica* (xiaolubi)] to 160,722 bp [*Microcitrus australasica* (xuemeng)], with a difference of only



**Fig. 8** Chloroplast genome of finger lime. In a circular form, genes inside the outer ring are transcribed clockwise and genes outside the ring are transcribed counterclockwise. The gray area in the inner circle indicates the GC content of the chloroplast genome. Different colors indicate different functional genes.

387 bp. These chloroplast genomes exhibit typical tetrad structures in angiosperms, including a large single copy region (LSC, 87,676–87,917 bp), a small single copy region (SSC, 18,754–18,789 bp), and a pair of reverse repeat regions (IR, 26,952–27,008 bp). The maximum differences in the LSC, SSC, and IR regions of the finger lime chloroplast genome are 241, 35, and 56 bp, respectively.

Further investigation revealed that the chloroplast genome of finger lime encodes a total of 133 or 135 genes, including 115 or 116 unique genes (81 or 82 protein-coding genes, 30 tRNA genes, and 4 rRNA genes) as well as 18–20 genes located in the reverse repeat region. In addition, this study also found that there was no difference in the total GC content between the chloroplast genome sequences of different varieties, both of which were 38.4%. The GC content of large and small singlecopy regions and reverse repeat regions were 36.8%, 33.2%, and 43.0%, respectively. These data provide us with a deeper understanding of the structure and function of the finger lime chloroplast genome.

# Phylogenetic analysis based on whole chloroplast genome

To further reveal the evolutionary relationship of the finger lime family, the chloroplast genome sequences of nine newly determined finger lime varieties were compared with other plants of similar families and genera. Based on the comparison results, maximum likelihood trees and Bayesian trees were constructed by using 55 whole chloroplast genome sequences and three exologous chloroplast genome sequences, respectively (Fig. 9). There is strong support for family relationships and intergenus relationships in the evolutionary tree, but the support for some species branches within citrus is slightly lower. There are some differences among the newly determined finger lime varieties, but the degree of variation is very small, and all varieties are descended from the same branch of evolution, which is closely related to *Citrus medica* and *Citrus indica*.

#### SNP marker development based on genome sequencing data

There are six types of genomic SNP mutations in nature, including two types of transformation and four types of transmutation. Taking T:A > C:G as an example, this type of SNP mutation includes T > C and A > G. Since sequencing data can be compared to both the positive and negative chains of the reference genome when the T > C type mutation appears on the positive chain of the reference genome, the A > G type mutation is in the same position on the negative chain of the reference genome. Therefore, we divide T > C and A > G into a class<sup>[6]</sup>. Among these variation sites, the frequency of conversion (A/G, G/A) was 36.1% and 27.8%, and the frequency of transmutation (A/C, A/T, G/C, G/T) was 13.9%, 11.1%, 2.8%, and 8.3%, respectively.

### Discussion

The classification of cultivated plant varieties plays an important role in crop breeding, cultivation, reproduction, and application, which studies the origin and development of the species and varieties of these crops. A complete and proper classification system is required to give them accurate and unified names and to better understand the characteristics of these crops<sup>[7–9]</sup>. The relevant literature on the classification of different cultivated finger lime varieties is relatively scarce, and the research on the biological characteristics of this plant is also relatively general. Too few taxonomic revisions to the geographic distribution of the entire taxa have been made in recent years, meaning that the classification and naming of these taxa are ad hoc, with many specimens still misnamed, unidentified, and/or not identified for decades. The reasons may be that first, most tropical taxa have not been monographed in the last 50 years. In addition, many other published measurements indicate levels of uncertainty in the classification and naming of flowering plants<sup>[10]</sup>. Consequently, there is little in the way of detailed statistics and classification on the botany, biology, characteristics, and differences of different varieties. Therefore, this study draws on the survey methods and classification criteria of other plant species for a systematic classification of different varieties of finger lime.

# Discussion and analysis of the botanical properties of finger lime

The nine finger lime varieties could be divided into two broad categories, according to the differences in their peel color: a dark-skinned group and a light-skinned group. Hongbaoshi is particularly prominent among these cultivated varieties, with a significantly greater height and branch thickness, making it the most easily identified. Xuemeng is also relatively easy to identify from its unique spherical fruit shape. However, most of the other varieties are more difficult to identify from their morphological features.

In addition to the first-tier characteristic of peel color, we propose the presence of oil glands and a protruding fruit 'tail' as secondary-tier classification characters. Finally, the leaf shape (including the presence of a petiole) and the tree shape can be considered as third- and fourth-tier characteristics, respectively. Using these characteristics, the different finger lime varieties in this study can be accurately distinguished.

# Discussion and analysis of finger lime phenological performance

Air temperature, accumulated temperature and precipitation play a vital role in the growth, development and yield of finger lime<sup>[11]</sup>. The climate in the Hainan region is very suitable for the growth and development of finger lime. Hainan Island has a tropical monsoon marine climate, with an annual average temperature between 22.5–25.6 °C, between 1,780–2,600 annual sunshine hours, total solar radiation between 4,500–5,800 megajoules / square meter, and annual precipitation between 1,500–2,500 mm. These climatic conditions provide sufficient light and water for finger lime.

According to the statistics of Hainan Meteorological Bureau, the average temperature of Hainan Province in April 2023 was 23.1 °C. This meets the temperature demand of finger lime in its early stage of fertility, but without affecting their fertility<sup>[12]</sup>. At the same time, the average precipitation in April was 90 mm. Consequently, Hainan Island has relatively low precipitation during the flowering and fruit period of finger lime, which help avoid the problem of finger lime root decay during growth.

There were some phenological differences between the finger lime varieties, although the commencement of their flowering and fruiting stages overlapped for most varieties.

Although the varieties were all cultivated under a consistent management regime in this study, previous research has shown that the phenology of flowering and fruiting can be affected by light, temperature, air, humidity, cultivation



**Fig. 9** ML (Maximum Likelihood) and BI (Bayesian Inference) trees constructed based on whole chloroplast genome dataset.  $\star$  Indicates that the support value is 100%/1.0, the number near the node indicates the support value of 60% and 0.6 or more obtained by the analysis, and different colors represent different branches of the family.

tion of finger lime varieties.

method, water availability, fertilization rates, pest control and for the external factors<sup>[13]</sup>; all of which directly or indirectly affect the plant's growth. Consequently, the timing of flowering and fruiting cannot be used as a reliable method for the classification the transformation of the classification of the classificat

# Discussion and analysis of the fruit quality of finger lime

Agricultural scientists around the world continue to work on breeding new finger lime varieties<sup>[14–17]</sup>. The weight, acidity and sweetness of the fruit are regarded as the basic indicators for breeding quality, as these reflect the taste and nutritional value of finger limes.

The nine finger lime varieties investigated in this study showed significant differences in their single fruit weight. The average fruit weight across all varieties was 11.8 grams, although Hongbaoshi had an average weight of 29.3 grams, more than 6 times that of the smallest fruit (Xiaolubi).

Similarly, the average sugar content of the nine varieties was 17.2%, but this ranged considerably from 10.9% in Lvbaoshi to 38.5% in Xiaolubi. Most varieties were quite acidic, with a pH between 2.3 and 2.8, although Xiaolubi again had a much higher pH (3.95). Coupled with the high sugar content, this suggests that fruit from this variety are likely to have quite a sweet flavor.

In addition, Adhikari et al. observed the new finger lime variety P1f 2-10, and found that the average single fruit weight reached 12.8 g and the maximum single fruit weight was more than 19 g. Its sugar content ranged from 18.0% to 21.0%<sup>[18]</sup>. These data are similar to the fruit weight, sugar content and acidity content of finger limes observed in this experiment.

Combined with the results of other varieties in previous studies, the nine finger lime varieties investigated in this experiment can enrich the diversity of finger lime varieties in China; providing a significant germplasm resource in terms of fruit weight, sugar content and acidity. Additionally, it provides much-needed reference information for breeders to develop new high-quality finger lime varieties, helping improve the competitiveness of China's finger lime industry and meet consumers' demand for high-quality fruits.

#### **Correlation of finger lime traits**

Detailed observations on fruit growth revealed that finger limes experience two important growth peaks from flowering to fruit ripening, with the first occurring 8–12 d after pollination, and the second peak occurring from 25 d post-pollination through to fruit harvest. This bimodal growth curve observed in this species<sup>[19–21]</sup> is similar to the trends in fruit growth seen in litchi, apricot, kiwi, cherry and pitaya<sup>[22–26]</sup>. During both periods, cell expansion accelerates and the fruit weight increases rapidly, with an ultimate impact on the overall fruit yield. These growth periods are also key formation periods for the fruit quality, impacting the taste, nutritional value, and appearance of the fruit.

To explore the relationship between the size of the finger lime trees and fruit quality, correlation analysis was conducted between 10 quantitative traits. As shown in Fig. 10, there was a highly significant negative correlation between tree height and the number of fruits per plant, while there was a highly significant positive correlation between tree height and branch thickness, fruit longitudinal diameter, soluble solid content, and single fruit weight. This shows that fruit size can be predicted from the tree size and branch thickness. Taller trees tend to produce thicker branches, and larger fruit (in both diameter and weight) with a higher soluble solid content. This observation has important practical implications for informing the cultivation of finger lime. The trees should be properly supplemented with adequate nutrients to allow robust growth, which in turn should promote the subsequent growth of finger lime fruit and improve the fruit yield and quality.

The density of single fruits also significantly impacts on the quality of the fruit. An excessive fruit load will not only reduce fruit yield and guality, but also affect the accumulation of tree nutrition<sup>[27,28]</sup>. The present study supported this, finding a significant negative correlation between the number of fruit per tree, and the soluble solids and single fruit weight. In other words, as the fruit density increases, the single fruit weight and the soluble solids content will decrease accordingly. Similarly, Li Jinxue observed that thinning flowers and fruits had a positive effect on improving the quality of lemon fruit grown in Dehong prefecture, Yunnan province. Thinning significantly increased the longitudinal diameter, TSS, and TA content of lemon fruit across two seasons<sup>[29]</sup>. This confirms that appropriate measures of fruit thinning and controlling the quantity of fruit produced are important to improve the yield, quality, and nutrient accumulation of finger lime fruit.

# Analysis of the structure of finger lime chloroplast genomes

According to the comparative analysis of the chloroplast genome structure (Fig. 9), the finger lime chloroplast genome resembles most other citrus plants<sup>[30–35]</sup>.

This study used whole-chloroplast genome data for ML and BI analysis to parse the phylogenetic analysis of finger limes, showing strong support for every node in the phylogenetic tree, with only slightly lower support for some internal species branches of *Citrus*. In the interior of the genus, citron (*Citrus medica*) and Indian orange (*Citrus indica*) are recently related to the finger limes. Citron fruit are large (10–25 cm diameter), with a yellow/green outer pericarp, thick yellow/white mesocarp (1.5–3.5 cm), scattered oil glands, and 1–2 seeds<sup>[36]</sup>. These biological properties are somewhat similar to the biological traits of finger lime observed in the study, which may support their relationship.

Although there was minimal variation between the newly determined finger lime varieties; the species had a higher degree of variation and appeared to be closely related to lemon (Citrus limonia). The Xuemeng variety was created by crossing the original finger lime species (C. australasica) and a local Hainan lemon variety, explaining the close relationship between these varieties. The wild form of C. limonia is reportedly a small tree, bearing strong thorns, and oblong leaves with a short petiole. The fruit is small (4 cm diameter), yellow, and semi-spherical, with 8-10 segments and 3-16 seeds per fruit<sup>[37]</sup>. The main traits of the Xuemeng variety observed in this study share the same characteristics, as it grows in the form of a shrub, with oblong, petioled leaves, long buds, and short stigmas. The fruit is small (5 cm diameter), with eight segments and a small 'tail' which disappears during maturation. These common biological characteristics support the aforementioned phylogenetic relationship between Xuemeng and lemon.

Molecular markers play important roles in breeding; their main applications include molecular mapping and gene mapping, fingerprint library establishment, and molecular



**Fig. 10** Correlation analysis of some observed traits of finger limes. \* p < 0.05 is considered significant. \*\* p < 0.01 is considered highly significant.

marker-assisted selection for desirable traits<sup>[38]</sup>. Through sequence-level analysis of the germplasm, the genetic properties of the germplasm and their relationships can be assessed more accurately, thus laying the foundation for genetic improvement. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) provides a rich diversity of information while maintaining stability, making it one of the most prominent molecular markers. It is of great significance for documenting the genetic diversity of fruit tree germplasm resources, construction of high-density genetic maps, and allowing mapping of quantitative trait loci (QTL)<sup>[39–41]</sup>.

Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), a representative of the third-generation molecular marker technology proposed by Lander, refers to the sequence polymorphism<sup>[42,43]</sup> formed by a single nucleotide variant of DNA at a specific nucleotide position within the genome. SNP includes four forms, namely transition, transversion, insertion and deletion<sup>[44–47]</sup>. There are two main strategies currently used for SNP development. The first is direct sequencing of DNA amplified fragments; although it is expensive, it provides a very low false positive rate and allows identification of the haplotype composed of SNP<sup>[48]</sup>. However, this method is expensive and expensive, so it is suitable for the development and validation of a specific SNP. The second method is the automated identification of polymorphic sites through bioinformatics approaches, which is a simpler and more cost-effective way of obtaining SNP candidates<sup>[49]</sup>.

SNP markers based on transcriptome sequencing have been successfully developed and applied to various foodplants

almond)<sup>[50-57]</sup>. In this study, we obtained candidate SNP for various finger lime fingerprint features through bioinformatics methods and found that the SNPs were widely distributed throughout the genome, with SNP marker conversion occurring at a frequency of 63.88% and 36.11%. This is consistent with the results reported in apple by Liu<sup>[6]</sup>. However, across all the mutations reported by Wang in radish, the frequency of A/G was close to C/T and much greater than that of other types of mutations, and the frequency of the other four types of mutations (A/T, A/C, G/T, and C/G) were less different<sup>[58]</sup>. This may be related to species differences, gene structure properties, sequencing data size, SNP retrieval tools, and search criteria. The SNP marker sequences identified here are widely involved in various metabolic processes of finger limes and affect the formation of various agronomic traits of finger limes. However, the specific functional localization and influence mode of these SNP punctuation points have not been fully clarified, and further studies on functional gene localization and breed fingerprinting are needed in the future.

(apple, peach, citrus, grape, apricot, cherry, banana and

### **Materials and methods**

#### **Experimental materials**

There were nine experimental samples used in the sequencing study. The nine experimental samples were collected from Shengda Modern Agricultural Development Co., Ltd. (19.349320, 110.450803) in Qionghai, Hainan, China; comprising 3–4 leaves from nine different varieties of finger lime fruit trees. All samples were from healthy and strong-growing plants. After rinsing with water, they were dried and stored in a plastic bag, prior to subsequent genome extraction.

#### **Experimental statistical method**

At the fruit ripening stage, 30 fruit trees were randomly selected to investigate the botanical characteristics of finger lemon varieties. The specific contents are as follows:

(1) Plants: Observe and record the tree height, trunk thickness, branch thickness, and distance between thorns on branches of different varieties of finger lemons, and count the number of thorns on the branches;

(2) Leaves: 30 leaves were randomly selected on randomly selected fruit trees, and the shape characteristics of the leaves were observed and recorded;

(3) Flowers: Randomly select 30 flowers on randomly selected fruit trees, observe and record the appearance characteristics of flower buds;

(4) Fruit: 30 fruits were randomly selected on randomly selected fruit trees, and the appearance characteristics of the fruits were observed and recorded;

(5) The propagation mode and growth time of different varieties of finger lemons were investigated and counted.

#### **Plant material DNA extraction**

The modified CTAB (Cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide) method, commonly used for DNA extraction from plant samples<sup>[59]</sup>, was used to extract the DNA in this study.

#### Chloroplast genomic DNA sequencing and sequence assembly

The plant chloroplast genomic data was obtained using shallow genome sequencing technology. Before performing whole genome sequencing (WGS), the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer of the UCDAVIS Genome Center (Davis, California, USA) was used to perform quality testing on each plant's DNA sample. Samples with a total DNA content of more than 0.8 µg were selected for subsequent library construction and sequencing. Using the BGISEQ-500 platform of Shenzhen BGI, a paired end-sequencing library with an insertion length between 300–500 bp was constructed and *de novo* sequenced. The high-guality original data source (raw reads) of each sample can reach about 8 GB. We used SOAPfilter v2.2 developed by BGI, Shenzhen, China to filter and prune the original data source generated by sequencing, including screening for low-quality base readings (> 10% Ns and/or > 40% low-quality bases), removing erroneous readings generated by PCR replication, and adjusting the adapter sequence.

The chloroplast genome sequence was then assembled from the filtered clean data using GetOrganelle v1.7.5.0<sup>[60]</sup>, with the software's default parameters (see online guide https://github. com/Kinggerm/GetOrganelle). All chloroplast genome assembly results were visualized using Bandage v0.8.1<sup>[61]</sup> software to check whether the new assembly map formed a tetrad structure, in order to evaluate the quality of the assembly and the integrity of the final assembly map.

Using the sequencing data, the SNPs (single nucleotide polymorphisms) of finger lime genome sequence were retrieved. The SNPs found were screened according to the following criteria to ensure their accuracy: (1) the distance between two SNPs is not less than 2 kb; (2) The number of base-sequencing reads at the mutation site was not less than 10; (3) The sequencing quality values of the two bases at the mutation site were not less than 2,062. Through these screening, we obtained SNPs with high confidence. A relatively large number of SNP sites have been detected in the varieties of "Xuemeng", while only a small number have been detected in other varieties.

#### Chloroplast genome annotation

Before sequence annotation, a global alignment was performed using Mauve v1.1.3 to check the collinearity of the genomic sequence<sup>[62]</sup>. The annotation of the chloroplast genome sequence was carried out by means of Geneious Prime v2021.2.2 and the already annotated sequence of its close relatives as the reference sequence<sup>[63]</sup>. After the preliminary annotation was completed, the annotation results were evaluated and manual modifications were made, including the adjustment of the start/stop codon and intron/exon boundaries. To ensure the accuracy of the annotated genes, we performed error detection on the annotated information of the genomic sequence using the software Sequin v.15.10.[64] The tRNAscan-SE software was used to verify the presence of the tRNA gene. In addition, the individual partition boundaries of the annotated sequence were determined by using Unipro UGENE v38.1<sup>[65]</sup>. We performed the mapping of the chloroplast genome using the online software OrganellarGenomeDRAW (OGDRAW) v1.3.1 (https://chlorobox.mpimp-golm.mpg.de/ OGDraw.html)[66].

#### **Construction of phylogenetic relationships**

The nine newly sequenced chloroplast genome sequences were used to construct a phylogenetic analysis, along with 50 chloroplast genomes downloaded from NCBI and three outgroup chloroplast genome sequences(Supplemental Table S1). When constructing the phylogenetic analysis, this study used the MAFFT plug-in in Geneious Prime v2021.2.2 software to align all sequences, manually check the alignment results, and remove areas with more than 80% missing data. The phylogenetic tree was reconstructed using Maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI). For ML and BI methods, (Akaike Information Criterion, AIC) and (Bayesian Information Criterion, BIC) respectively used in (Smart Model Selection, SMS) to determine the model that best fits this matrix<sup>[61]</sup>. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using the ML method, GTR + G was selected as the optimal model, and the Rapid bootstrap algorithm was used to calculate the bootstrap support rate of each branch 1,000 times. Each analysis is performed twice independently, setting a random starting tree for a total of 400,000,000 iterations, and recording the sample parameters and the system tree every 1,000 generations. MrBayes v 3.2<sup>[62]</sup> was used for BI analysis, GTR + I + G was selected as the optimal model, and the analysis started from a random evolutionary tree, and then 2 million generation operations were carried out on four parallel evolutionary chains. Markov chains were sampled every 100 generations, resulting in a total of 20,000 phylogenetic trees. The first 25% (the initial 5,000 Burn-in trees) were removed from the analysis, and the remaining 15,000 phylogenetic trees were analyzed for consistency (using the 50% majority rule). A 50% majority rule consensus tree is obtained. FigTree v1.4.4 was used to analyze all ML and BI obtained systems. This allows for visual analysis of tree cultivation (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree).

Tropical Plants

### **Author contributions**

The authors confirm contribution to the paper as follows: study conception and design: Lv W, Wang HF; data collection: Zhu MH, Wang Q, Huang YS; analysis and interpretation of results: Lv W, Johnson JB, Zaman QU; draft manuscript preparation: Lv W, Zhang Y. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

### **Data availability**

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

### Acknowledgments

This study was funded by Hainan Province Science and Technology Special Fund (ZDYF2022XDNY190), University level scientific research project of Hainan University (XTCX2022NYB09), the Project of Sanya Yazhou Bay Science and Technology City (SCKJ-JYRC-2022-83) and Hainan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (421RC486).

### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Supplementary Information** accompanies this paper at (https://www.maxapress.com/article/doi/10.48130/tp-0024-0015)

### Dates

Received 22 January 2024; Accepted 7 April 2024; Published online 22 May 2024

### References

- 1. Chen QY. 1989. Briefing on the introduction of Lemon. *Agricultural Science and Technology Newsletter* 12:16–17
- 2. Lin WH. 2022. Finger orange and old citron. *Szechuan Cuisine* 2022(6):24–26
- 3. Zhou Z. 2016. Australia: finger lemon development on the rise. *China Fruit Industry Information* 33(1):34–35
- 4. Xiao BW, Zhang QX, Wang JL, Feng J, Liu JL, et al. 2020. Hainan finger lime main pests and diseases initial report. *Tropical Agricultural Science and Technology* 43:20–24
- 5. Hu XL. 2013. Aussie Fingerling Lemon. Wealthy World 2013(2):81
- 6. Liu GS. 2019. Development of apple SSR and SNP markers and their application in genetic map construction and variety identification. Thesis. Hunan Agricultural University, China.
- Feng RX. 2011. Studies on the classification of plant species and plant varieties. *New Curriculum (Teaching and Research)* 2011(3):116
- Duan CY, Xue X, Zhang YB. 2007. Classification of plant species and plant varieties. *Shi Zhen National Medicine and Pharmacy* 2007(9):2185–86
- 9. Shi Q, Wang CZ. 2001. A study of branching taxonomy algorithms and their application to the classification of organisms. *Journal of Beijing University of Technology* 27(3):266–72
- Goodwin ZA, Harris DJ, Filer D, Wood JRI, Scotland RW. 2015. Widespread mistaken identity in tropical plant collections. *Current Biology* 25:R1066–R1067
- Ma WQ, Wang HY, Zhang WJ, Wang S, Wan XF, et al. 2021. Effects of ecological factors on shape and ginsenoside of Panax ginseng. *China Journal of Chinese Materia Medica* 46(8):1920–26

- 12. Guan MY, Xu ZH, Cai XY, Li XY, Hu XX. 2009. Climate characteristics of off-season pineapple cultivation in Hainan. *Meteorological Research and Application* 30(4):56–59
- 13. Li M, Dai FL. 2022. Cultivation techniques of large cherry and key points of pest control techniques. *Friends of the Fruit growers* 2022(5):36–39
- Dutt M, Mahmoud LM, Chamusco K, Stanton D, Chase CD, et al. 2021. Utilization of somatic fusion techniques for the development of HLB tolerant breeding resources employing the Australian finger lime (*Citrus australasica*). *PLoS One* 16:e0255842
- Traband RC, Wang X, Lui J, Yu L, Hiraoka Y, et al. 2023. Exploring the Phylogenetic Relationship among Citrus through Leaf Shape Traits: A Morphological Study on Citrus Leaves. *Horticulturae* 9:793
- Mahmoud LM, Killiny N, Holden P, Gmitter FG Jr, Grosser JW, et al. 2023. Physiological and Biochemical Evaluation of Salt Stress Tolerance in a Citrus Tetraploid Somatic Hybrid. *Horticulturae* 9:1215
- Kim DS, Jeong SM, Jo SH, Chanmuang S, Kim SS, et al. 2023. Comparative analysis of physicochemical properties and storability of a new citrus variety, Yellowball, and its parent. *Plants* 12:2863
- Adhikari B, Dutt M, Vashisth T. 2021. Comparative phytochemical analysis of the fruits of four Florida-grown finger lime (*Citrus* australasica) selections. *LWT* 135:110003
- Bowman KD, McCollum G, Plotto A, Bai J. 2019. Minnie finger lime: A new novelty citrus cultivar. *HortScience* 54:1425–28
- 20. Birmingham E. 2002. Rainforest Pearl. *Plant Varieties Journal* 15(2):33-34
- Wen ZF, Xie YH, Li LH, Zhou N, Hong L, et al. 2006. Fruit characteristics and growth dynamics of Fino and Yuric lemon. *The 7<sup>th</sup> Youth Symposium of Horticultural Society of China, Taian, Shandong, 18–20 July 2006.* China: Horticultural Society of China. pp. 65–68.
- 22. Li F, Liu YT, Wang XH, Li HL, Wang G, et al. 2021. Observations on the dynamics of fruit growth and development of two lychee varieties. *Tropical Agricultural Science* 41(10):27–33
- Teng YF, Zhan YF, Zhen WL, Tian XP, Lu YF, et al. 2019. Study on the growth and development pattern of new shoots and fruits of six varieties of apricots. *Forestry Science and Technology Newsletter* 2019(6):86–89
- Li XY, Xiao J, Xu JK, Song FB, Tan JJ. 2018. Fruit growth and development pattern of Guichang kiwifruit. *Anhui Agricultural Science* 46(21):75–77
- 25. Zhang X, Li YJ, Zhang FX, Sun QT, Tian CP, et al. 2018. Dynamic changes in the quality of sweet cherry fruits during development. *Hebei Agricultural Science* 22(2):38–40
- 26. Gao AH, Wei Q, Chen JL, Ling SP, Zheng W, et al. 2004. A preliminary report on the observation of fruit growth pattern of redfleshed dragon fruit. *Southwest Horticulture* 32(6):4–5
- 27. Zhang F. 2022. Influencing factors and countermeasures of fruit tree quality in northern Shandong Province. *Modern Agricultural Science and Technology* 2022(6):51–52+55
- Cheng HQ, Dong Y. 2020. Analysis of influencing factors of kiwi fruit quality in southern Shaanxi. *Grass-roots Agricultural Extension* 8:85–88
- 29. Li JX. 2023. *Regulation and mechanism of flowers and fruits in spring and autumn in Dehong, Yunnan*. Thesis. Huazhong Agricultural University, China.
- Carbonell-Caballero J, Alonso R, Ibañez V, Terol J, Talon M, et al. 2015. A phylogenetic analysis of 34 chloroplast genomes elucidates the relationships between wild and domestic species within the genus Citrus. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 32:2015–35
- Han P, Yin T, Xi D, Yang X, Zhang M, et al. 2023. Genome-wide identification of the sweet orange *bZIP* gene family and analysis of their expression in response to infection by *Penicillium digitatum*. *Horticulturae* 9:393
- Wang N, Li C, Kuang L, Wu X, Xie K, et al. 2022. Pan-mitogenomics reveals the genetic basis of cytonuclear conflicts in citrus hybridization, domestication, and diversification. *Proceedings of*

- 119:e2206076119
  33. Wang L, Dong WP, Zhou SL. 2012. Structural mutations and reorganizations in chloroplast genomes of flowering plants. *Acta Botanica Boreali-Occidentalia Sinica* 32(6):1282–88
- Zhang Y, Zhang JW, Yang Y, Li XN. 2019. Structural and comparative analysis of the complete chloroplast genome of a mangrove plant: Scyphiphora hydrophyllacea Gaertn. f. and related Rubiaceae species. Forests 10:1000
- 35. Li Y, Zhang J, Li L, Gao L, Xu J, et al. 2018. Structural and comparative analysis of the complete chloroplast genome of *Pyrus hopeiensis—*"wild plants with a tiny population"—and three other *Pyrus* species. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 19:3262
- 36. Xu SW. 2011. Dehong prefecture very valuable health fruit. *Yunnan Agriculture* 2011(2):26–27
- 37. Jiang JM, Jia BY, Fan DQ, Tian YP, Xiao LB, et al. 1984. Wild white lemon in Libo County. *Wild Plant Resources in China* 1:26–27
- Zhou L, Duan Y, Wen B, Ma YC, Zhu XJ, et al. 2018. SNP molecular markers and their application to genetic breeding of woody plants. *Subtropical Plant Science* 47:187–93
- Wan Q, Zhang YL, Wang SD, He SC, Wen ZQ. 2021. Selection methods for labeled SNP sets in genome-wide association studies. *Mathematical Modeling and its Applications* 10(3):44–53+69
- 40. Gao Y, Wang DJ, Wang K, Cong PH, Li LW, et al. 2020. Kinship and genetic structure analysis of 15 plant resources of the genus Apple based on high-density SNP markers. *Chinese Agricultural Science* 53(16):3333–43
- 41. Yan JW, Xiao TJ, Yuan QF, Xie P, Peng ZJ, et al. 2018. SSR and SNP polymorphism analysis based on *Hylocereus polyrhizus* transcriptome. *Chinese Journal of Tropical Crops* 39:1338–43
- Verma SK, Kumar LK, Thumar M, Kumar TVC, Vedamurthy VG, et al. 2024. A synonymous single nucleotide polymorphism (g.36417726C>A) in the *Lama2* gene influencing fat deposition is associated with post-partum anestrus interval in Murrah buffalo. *Gene* 896:148032
- 43. Jing Y, Zhao X, Wang J, Teng W, Qiu L, et al. 2018. Identification of the genomic region underlying seed weight per plant in soybean (Glycine max L. Merr.) via high-throughput single-nucleotide polymorphisms and a genome-wide association study. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9:1392
- 44. Chen S, Guo Y, Yang C, Li Y. 2018. Review on single nucleotide polymorphisms application in tea plant (*Camellia sinensis*). *Journal of Food Safety and Quality* 9(2):243–47
- Akter S, Huq MA, Jung YJ, Cho YG, Kang KK. 2016. Application of single nucleotide polymorphism markers for selection of male sterility in crop plants. *Plant Breeding and Biotechnology* 4:379–86
- Li C, Bai G, Carver BF, Chao S, Wang Z. 2016. Mapping quantitative trait loci for plant adaptation and morphology traits in wheat using single nucleotide polymorphisms. *Euphytica* 208:299–312
- Sasaki Y, Fushimi H, Komatsu K. 2004. Application of singlenucleotide polymorphism analysis of the *trnK* gene to the identification of *Curcuma* plants. *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin* 27:144–46
- Soleimani VD, Baum BR, Johnson DA. 2003. Efficient validation of single nucleotide polymorphisms in plants by allele-specific PCR, with an example from barley. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* 21:281–88
- Li M, Guo DL, Liu CH, Zhang YH. 2011. EST-SNP developed software feature analysis and comparison. *Chemistry of Life* 31:906–11
- 50. Silfverberg-Dilworth E, Matasci CL, Van de Weg WE, Van Kaauwen MPW, Walser M, et al. 2006. Microsatellite markers spanning the

apple (Malus × domestica Borkh.) genome. Tree Genetics & Genomes 2:202-24

- 51. Yamamoto T, Yamaguchi M, Hayashi T. 2005. An integrated genetic linkage map of peach by SSR, STS, AFLP and RAPD. *Journal of the Japanese Society for Horticultural Science* 74:204–13
- 52. Kijas JMH, Thomas MR, Fowler JCS, Roose ML. 1997. Integration of trinucleotide microsatellites into a linkage map of Citrus. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 94:701–06
- Dalbó MA, Ye GN, Weeden NF, Steinkellner H, Sefc KM, et al. 2000. A gene controlling sex in grapevines placed on a molecular marker-based genetic map. *Genome* 43:333–40
- 54. Vilanova S, Romero C, Abbott AG, Llácer G, Badenes ML. 2003. An apricot (Prunus armeniaca L.) F2 progeny linkage map based on SSR and AFLP markers, mapping plum pox virus resistance and self-incompatibility traits. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 107:239–47
- Wang D, Karle R, Brettin TS, lezzoni AF. 1998. Genetic linkage map in sour cherry using RFLP markers. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 97:1217–24
- Fauré S, Noyer JL, Horry JP, Bakry F, Lanaud C, et al. 1993. A molecular marker-based linkage map of diploid bananas (*Musa acuminata*). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 87:517–26
- Joobeur T, Periam N, de Vicente MC, King GJ, Arús P. 2000. Development of a second generation linkage map for almond using RAPD and SSR markers. *Genome* 43:649–55
- Wang YY. 2019. The transcriptome-based development of radish SNP markers and their application in germplasm identification. Thesis. Nanjing Agricultural University, China.
- 59. Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. 1987. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochemical Bulletin*.
- Jin JJ, Yu WB, Yang JB, Song Y, DePamphilis CW, et al. 2020. GetOrganelle: a fast and versatile toolkit for accurate de novo assembly of organelle genomes. *Genome Biology* 21:241
- Wick RR, Schultz MB, Zobel J, Holt KE. 2015. Bandage: interactive visualization of de novo genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 31:3350–52
- 62. Darling ACE, Mau B, Blattner FR, Perna NT. 2004. Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. *Genome Research* 14:1394–403
- 63. Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, et al. 2012. Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 28:1647–49
- 64. Schattner P, Brooks AN, Lowe TM. 2005. The tRNAscan-SE, snoscan and snoGPS web servers for the detection of tRNAs and snoRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Research* 33:W686–W689
- 65. Rose R, Golosova O, Sukhomlinov D, Tiunov A, Prosperi M. 2019. Flexible design of multiple metagenomics classification pipelines with UGENE. *Bioinformatics* 35:1963–65
- Lohse M, Drechsel O, Kahlau S, Bock R. 2013. OrganellarGenome-DRAW—a suite of tools for generating physical maps of plastid and mitochondrial genomes and visualizing expression data sets. *Nucleic Acids Research* 41:W575–W581

Copyright: © 2024 by the author(s). Published by Maximum Academic Press on behalf of Hainan University. This article is an open access article distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.