# **Open Access**

# Research progress on biological functions of IncRNAs in major vegetable crops

Nan Li<sup>#</sup>, Yujie Wang<sup>#</sup>, Ran Zheng, and Xiaoming Song<sup>\*</sup>

College of Life Sciences/Center for Genomics and Bio-computing, North China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan 063210, China

<sup>#</sup> These authors contributed equally: Nan Li, Yujie Wang

\* Corresponding author, E-mail: songxm@ncst.edu.cn

# Abstract

With the advances in genomics and bioinformatics, particularly the extensive application of high-throughput sequencing technology, a large number of non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) have been discovered, of which long ncRNAs (lncRNAs) refer to a class of transcripts that are more than 200 nucleotides in length. Accumulating evidence demonstrates that lncRNAs play significant roles in a wide range of biological processes, including regulating plant growth and development as well as modulating biotic and abiotic stress responses. Although the study of lncRNAs has been a hotspot of biological research in recent years, the functional characteristics of plant lncRNAs are still in their initial phase and face great challenges. Here, we summarize the characteristics and screening methods of lncRNAs and highlight their biological functions in major vegetable crops, including tomato, *Brassica* genus crops, cucumber, pepper, carrot, radish, potato, and spinach, which are implicated in the interaction of lncRNAs and miRNAs. This review enhances the understanding of lncRNAs' roles and can guide crop improvement programs in the future.

Citation: Li N, Wang Y, Zheng R, Song X. 2022. Research progress on biological functions of IncRNAs in major vegetable crops. *Vegetable Research* 2:14 https://doi.org/10.48130/VR-2022-0014

# Introduction

In higher eukaryotic genomes, approximately 90% of the genetic information can pervasively transfer to RNAs<sup>[1]</sup>. More than 75% of the transcripts do not have protein-coding potential and are classified as non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs)<sup>[2,3]</sup>. Long non-coding RNAs are a group of ncRNAs with a transcript length of more than 200 nt<sup>[4]</sup>. Compared with that of mRNAs, their transcript level is generally low and has strong tissue or condition expression specificity<sup>[4]</sup>. In addition, the sequence conservation of IncRNAs is very low across plant species, which may result from rapid sequence evolution<sup>[5]</sup>. Most IncRNAs have also been found to be transcribed by RNA pol II, while the others are produced by pol III, IV, and V<sup>[6,7]</sup>. Based on their location relative to adjacent protein-coding genes in the genome, the IncRNAs are classified into five types: sense IncRNA, antisense IncRNA, bidirectional IncRNA, intronic IncRNA (incRNA), and large intergenic IncRNA (lincRNA)<sup>[8]</sup>. Each IncRNA is produced by a specific mechanism and can act in cis or trans to regulate gene expression through diverse modes at chromatin, transcription, post-transcription, translation, and post-translation levels<sup>[9,10]</sup>. With the wide applications of high throughput RNA-sequencing technology, thousands of IncRNAs have been identified in diverse plant species. They act not only as regulators of basic cellular mechanisms but also participate in the regulation of developmental processes as well as biotic and abiotic stress responses<sup>[5,11-14]</sup>. In recent years, the research on the function of IncRNAs in vegetable crops has gradually increased. This paper reviews the characteristics of IncRNAs and their biological functions in vegetables.

# **Biological characteristics of IncRNAs**

## Structure

LncRNAs refer to ncRNAs longer than 200 nt, sometimes in a range of tens of kilo-nucleotides. By comprehensive comparative analysis of IncRNAs among 37 species, we found that the length of IncRNAs fluctuates greatly among different species, ranging from 550.83 nt of mean length in Brassica rapa to 12,053.52 nt in Manihot esculenta<sup>[15]</sup>. Most plant IncRNAs identified so far are polyadenylated and 5'-capped. However, there are some non-polyadenylated IncRNAs<sup>[4,16]</sup>. In comparison with those polyadenylated IncRNAs, the length of nonpolyadenylated IncRNAs is shorter, the transcript abundance is lower, and the specificity in response to stresses is stronger<sup>[17]</sup>. Like most proteins, the structure of some IncRNAs is simple, while others appear to have a complex but poorly understood secondary/or tertiary structure, which is generally believed to be necessary for their function. There are two classes of functional elements in IncRNA: One is necessary for physical interactions with partner nucleic acids or proteins, and the other governs the secondary and/or tertiary structure, which further directs interaction partners' binding sites<sup>[18]</sup>.

#### **Expression features**

The transcript abundance of lncRNAs is generally low, only 1/30 to 1/60 of the average mRNA expression level<sup>[8]</sup>. However, there are also exceptions: In a previous study, we found that some lncRNAs had very high expression abundance after comprehensive analysis of the lncRNAs in 37 species<sup>[15]</sup>. Furthermore, there are significant differences in lncRNA expression patterns across species<sup>[15]</sup>. Most lncRNAs reside in the nucleus, while they can also export to the cytosol or other organelles,

such as mitochondria, which was demonstrated by ribosome profiling and RNA FISH<sup>[19]</sup>. In the nucleus, IncRNA may perform its function in either *cis* or *trans* mode; it has been suggested that IncRNAs with low transcript abundance may work in *cis*, while those transcribed at a higher level are likely to act in *trans*<sup>[20]</sup>.

The expression of IncRNAs was highly specific in different tissues and developmental stages. For example, in cabbage, IncRNA BoNR8 was specifically expressed in the epidermal tissue of the elongation region of germinating seeds<sup>[21]</sup>. In tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), 4,079, 4,135, and 4,311 IncRNAs that were expressed in tomato fruits at the mature green, breaker, and breaker plus 7 days, respectively, were identified by integrating 134 datasets. Only 20 IncRNAs were expressed in all three developmental stages<sup>[22]</sup>. It was proposed that the apparent specificity was partly attributed to the generally low expression level of IncRNAs as well as limitations in detection by standard mRNA-sequencing protocols<sup>[23]</sup>. Most IncRNA sequences are weakly conserved. This shows that only a small part of IncRNA in Chinese cabbage has high homology with IncRNA in other Brassica crops<sup>[24]</sup>. Based on the analysis of IncRNA from five monocot and five dicot species, it was found that IncRNA had higher sequence conservativeness at the intraspecies and sub-species levels but lower inter-species conservativeness<sup>[25]</sup>.

# Screening and identification of IncRNAs based on high-throughput sequencing

With the rapid development of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology, RNA-Seq has become the first choice for studying the whole transcriptome due to its advantages of high throughput, high accuracy, high sensitivity, and low cost, which has also greatly facilitated the development of IncRNA identification and prediction<sup>[26]</sup>. However, the construction and sequencing of general transcriptome libraries cannot separate the sense strand and the antisense strand, therefore, a strand-specific RNA-seq (ssRNA-seq) technique was developed to

facilitate the identification of transcript orientations<sup>[27]</sup>. Although NGS techniques are effective, they still suffer from several drawbacks. One major disadvantage is short read lengths, and it is difficult to ensure the accuracy of reconstructed transcripts during assembly<sup>[28]</sup>. Single-molecule real-time sequencing technology (SMRT) is a third-generation sequencing method that can overcome these limitations and generate long reads without further assembly<sup>[29,30]</sup>. The thirdgeneration sequencing technology (isoform sequencing, ISOseq) based on the SMRT sequencing platform has recently been applied to analyze the full-length transcriptome and IncRNA prediction of various species<sup>[31-34]</sup>. In addition, in order to solve the problem of high error rate of SMRT, the 'SMRT + NGS' sequencing joint analysis method, which uses high-guality, high-coverage NGS to correct SMRT data, has been more and more widely used<sup>[35-37]</sup>. ChIP-seq technology, which combines chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and NGS, provides massive data for the identification of transcription factor binding sites, and it can also be used to identify IncRNA targets of specific transcription factors<sup>[38]</sup>.

# LncRNA research overview of vegetable crops

As an important new regulatory factor, in recent years, the function of IncRNA in vegetable crops has received attention. Here, we summarize the studies involving IncRNA research for some important vegetables, including tomato, *Brassica* crops, cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.), pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.), carrot (*Daucus carota* L.), radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.), potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), and spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.), which were also the most studied among the various vegetable species. It was found that the first report about IncRNAs on these vegetables was the discovery of *BcMF11* in 2007, which was predicted as an ncRNA associated with pollen development of Chinese cabbage<sup>[39]</sup>. Then in 2013, the function of *BcMF11* was further explored<sup>[40]</sup>. Based on our statistics, there are fewer than 100 relevant studies in the literature to date (Supplemental Table S1). From 2017 to 2020, the number of



Fig. 1 Statistics on the published number of InRNA-related papers of major vegetables.

published papers increased gradually, then decreased slightly in 2021 (Fig. 1, Supplemental Table S1). Among the studied species, studies on tomato were the most common (35 papers), followed by *Brassica* crops (32 papers), with relatively few reports on the other six vegetable crops: 8, 7, 6, 2, 2, and 1 for pepper, potato, cucumber, spinach, carrot, and radish, respectively (Fig. 1, Supplemental Table S1).

# **Biological functions of IncRNAs in vegetable crops**

With the advances in genomic and bioinformatic techniques, IncRNAs in vegetable crops were suggested to be involved in various biological processes, and in our study, these processes were mainly categorized into three groups, including growth and development, abiotic stress, as well as biotic stress (Table 1). In different species, IncRNAs were found to be related to various developmental events, such as fruit ripening, vernalization, anther or pollen development, anthocyanin biosynthesis, and sex differentiation<sup>[37,41-44]</sup>. Moreover, IncRNAs were implicated in a variety of abiotic stress responses, such as drought, heat, chilling, and salt stresses<sup>[45–48]</sup>. In addition, IncRNAs may play an important role in plant immunity<sup>[49–52]</sup> (Table 1). Even though a large number of IncRNAs were identified by highthroughput sequencing and suggested to be associated with different physiological processes, only a small portion of IncRNAs have been assessed by functional analysis using molecular biology approaches (Fig. 2, Table 2). The regulation modes of plant IncRNAs in different biological processes are complex and variable<sup>[14]</sup>. Among them, the interaction between IncRNAs and miRNAs was the most reported relationship in plants. First, IncRNAs can function as an endogenous target mimic (eTM) to sequester miRNAs via base pairing to complementary sites, therefore blocking the interaction of miRNAs and their potential targets<sup>[53]</sup>. These kinds of IncRNAs are also known as competitive endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs)<sup>[53,54]</sup>. Second, TMs with extensive complementarity to the 5' and 3' ends of endogenous miRNAs were recently found to trigger miRNA destruction in animals, a process known as targetdirected miRNA degradation (TDMD)<sup>[55-57]</sup>. Similarly, by expressing a short tandem target mimic (STTM) in plants, specific endogenous miRNAs can be disrupted. This technology was developed to investigate the function of specific miRNAs<sup>[58]</sup>. Furthermore, the F-box protein HAWAIIAN SKIRT (HWS) was found to be involved in the degradation pathway and may play a role in the clearance of RNA-induced silencing complexes (RISCs)<sup>[59]</sup>. Third, some IncRNAs were discovered as precursors of miRNAs, which positively regulate the maturation of miRNAs<sup>[54]</sup>. Lastly, some IncRNAs can bind and be cleaved by the sequence of complementary miRNAs, that are further processed into phased small-interfering RNAs (phasiRNAs) and guide RNA silencing<sup>[54]</sup>.

# Participation in the growth and development of vegetables

#### Fruit development and ripening

Based on previous studies, many IncRNAs were found to be involved in fruit development and the ripening process of vegetable crops. Tomato is a model plant to study flesh fruit development and ripening, and emerging evidence has shown that IncRNAs play crucial roles in this process<sup>[22,38,41,60,61]</sup>. It was found that IncRNAs may function as ceRNAs of miRNA, interfering with the expression of genes associated with ethylene

 Table 1.
 List of long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) identified in major vegetable crops.

Roles	Species	Pathways	Approaches	LncRNAs number	DE-LncRNAs number	Ref.
Growth and development	Solanum lycopersicum	Fruit ripening	RNA-seq	_	378	[41]
	Solanum lycopersicum	Fruit ripening	ssRNA-seq	3,679	677	[61]
	Solanum lycopersicum	Fruit expansion and ripening	ssRNA-seq	17,674	tissue- and stage- dependent	[60]
	Solanum lycopersicum	RIN target IncRNAs; fruit ripening	ChIP-seq & RNA- seq	187	-	[38]
	Solanum lycopersicum	Fruit ripening	integrate 134 data sets	79,322	tissue- and stage- specificity	[22]
	Capsicum chinense Jacq.	Fruit ripening	RNA-seq	20,563	1,1826	[64]
	Capsicum annuum	Fruit ripening	RNA-seq	11,999	366	[65]
	Capsicum annuum	Fruit development	ssRNA-seq	2,505	1,066	[ <mark>66</mark> ]
	Brassica rapa	Vernalization	RNA-seq	1,961	254	[42]
	Brassica rapa var. pekinensis	Vernalization	RNA-seq	2,088	549	[68]
	Brassica campestris ssp. pekinensis	Vernalization	ssRNA-seq	1,858	151	[69]
	Brassica rapa	Pollen development	RNA-seq	12,051	14	[43]
	Brassica rapa ssp. pekinensis	Anther development	SMRT	407	_	[34]
	Brassica campestris ssp. pekinensis	Anther development	RNA-seq	2,384	1,344	[72]
	Brassica rapa ssp. pekinensis	Cytoplasmic male sterility	RNA-seq	3,312	529	[74]
	Brassica campestris	Male sterile	RNA-seq	13,879	361	[73]
	Capsicum annuum	Cytoplasmic male sterilitye	RNA-seq	10,655	1,137	[75]
	Solanum lycopersicum	Sperm cell lineage development	ssRNA-seq	31,931	cell/tissue-type specificity	[76]
	Capsicum annuum	Anthocyanin biosynthesis	ssRNA-seq	-	172	[44]
	Solanum tuberosum	Anthocyanin Biosynthesis	ssRNA-seq	4,376	1,421	[80]
	Solanum tuberosum	Anthocyanin Biosynthesis	RNA-seq	1,072	6	[81]
	Daucus carota	Anthocyanin biosynthesis	RNA-seq	7,288	639	[82]
	Solanum lycopersicum	Trichome formation	ssRNA-seq	1,303	196	[83]
	Solanum tuberosum	Potato tuber sprouting	RNA-seq	3,175	723	[87]

# Table 1. (continued)

Roles	Species	Pathways	Approaches	LncRNAs number	DE-LncRNAs number	Ref.
	Spinacia oleracea	Flowering	RNA-seq	1,141	111	[89]
	Spinacia oleracea	Sex differentiation	PacBio Iso-seq & RNA-seq	500	42	[37]
Growth and development	Brassica napus	Oil biosynthesis	ssRNA-seq & RNA- seq datasets	8,905	13	[90]
	Brassica oleracea var. capitata	Cuticular wax biosynthesis	RNA-seq	4,459	148	[91]
Abiotic stress	Solanum lycopersicum	Drought response	RNA-seq	521	244	[45]
	Solanum lycopersicum	drought-response	RNA-seq	67,770	3,053	[103]
	Brassica napus	Drought response	RNA-seq	-	477/706	[104]
	Solanum tuberosum	Drought response	NGS & SMRT	3,445	-	[105]
	Brassica rapa	Heat response	ssRNA-seq	4,594	1,686	[46]
	Brassica juncea	Heat and drought response	RNA-seq	7,613	1,614	[107]
	Brassica rapa	Heat response	RNA-seq	18,253	1,229	[15]
	Brassica rapa ssp. pekinensis	Heat response	RNA-seq	278	65	[106]
	Brassica rapa ssp. chinensis (NHCC)	Cold and heat response	RNA-seq	10,001	2,236	[108]
	Cucumis sativus	Heat response	RNA-seq	2,085	108	[109]
	Raphanus sativus	Heat response	ssRNA-seq	_	169	[110]
	Solanum lycopersicum	Chilling injury	RNA-seq	1,411	239	[47]
	Capsicum annuum	Chilling injury	RNA-seg	9,848	380	[111]
	, Solanum lycopersicum	Fruit cracking	RNA-sea	2,508	_	[112]
	Solanum pennellii and M82	Salt response	ssRNA-sea	1.044	154/137	[48]
	Cucumis sativus	Waterlogging response	RNA-sea	3,738	922/514/1.476/	[115]
	Cucumis sativus	Phosphate-deficiency	ssRNA-sea	14 277	1,270	[121]
	cacarnis sativas	response	ssinning	1,277		[121]
	Brassica napus	Cadmium toxic response	ssRNA-seq	5,038	301	[126]
Biotic stress	Solanum tuberosum	Phytophthora infestans resistance	RNA-seq	2,857	133	[49]
	Solanum lycopersicum	Phytophthora infestans	RNA-seq	28,256	688	[130]
	Solanum lycopersicum L3708	Phytophthora infestans	RNA-seq	9,011	196	[131]
	Solanum lyconersicum	TYI CV resistance	ssRNA-sea	1.565	529	[50]
	Solanum lycopersicum	TYI CV resistance	ssRNA-seq	2 056	345	[138]
Biotic stress	Solanum lycopersicum	Bacillus subtilis SL18r-induced tomato resistance against Botrytis cinerea	RNA-seq	_	55/34/15	[51]
	Solanum lycopersicum	Pseudomonas putida Sneb821- induced tomato resistance against Meloidogyne incognita	RNA-seq	3,371	78	[140]
	Solanum lycopersicum	Pst resistance	RNA-seq	2,609	Different in each comparison	[52]
	Solanum lycopersicum	PSTVd resistance	RNA-seg	6,726	44	[141]
	Brassica campestris ssp.chinensis Makino	Plasmodiophora brassicae resistance	RNA-seq	1,492	114	[143]
	Brassica napus	Plasmodiophora brassicae resistance	ssRNA-seq	4,558	530	[144]
	Brassica rapa ssp. pekinensis	Downy mildew resistance	RNA-seg	3,711	_	[145]
	Brassica napus	Sclerotinia sclerotiorum resistance	RNA-seq	3,181	931	[142]
	Brassica rapa	Fusarium oxysporum resistance	qPCR			[146]
	Capsicum annuum	Phytophthora capsica resistance	eRNA-seg	2,388	607	[147]
	Solanum tuberosum	Pectobacterium carotovorum	ssRNA-seq	1,113	559	[148]
	Solanum tuberosum	Potato Virus Y resistance and heat stress	RNA-seq	4,007	421	[149]
	Cucumis sativus	Powdery mildew resistance	ssRNA-seq	12,903	119	[150]
Others	Solanum lycopersicum	Ethylene signaling	RNA-seq	397	12	[151]
	Solanum pimpinellifolium LA1589, S. lycopersicum Heinz1706	Lycopersicon specificity	ssRNA-seq	413/709	92/161	[152]
	Brassica napus, B. oleracea and B. rapa	Species divergence	RNA-seq	1,885/1,910/ 1,299	186 /157/161	[153]
	Capsicum chinense	Heterosis effect	ssRNA-sea	2.525	1.932/593	[154]
	Cucumis hytiyus	Allopolyploidization	RNA-sea	2,206	1.328	[155]
	Brassica rapa, B. carinata, and B. hexaploid	Polyploidization	RNA-seq	2,725/1,672/ 2,810	725	[156]



**Fig. 2** The predictive model of regulatory mechanisms of lncRNAs with known functions under various developmental events or stress conditions in different vegetable crops. Full-line arrows represent positive regulatory interactions, blunt-ended bars represent negative regulation and dotted-line arrow indicates that the regulatory mechanism is unclear. White boxes with blue lines represent lncRNAs, light green boxes represent different developmental events or stresses. The letters in red denote positive regulators, while the letters in black denote negative regulators.

Гable 2.	Summar	y of functionall	y validated	IncRNAs in	major vegetables.
----------	--------	------------------	-------------	------------	-------------------

Species	LncRNA name	Biological functions	Interaction targets	References
Solanum lycopersicum	IncRNA000170	Trichome formation	Solyc10g006360	[83]
	IncRNA1459, IncRNA1840	Fruit ripening	-	[61, 62]
	IncRNA2155	Fruit ripening	RIN	[38]
	ACoS-AS1	Trans-splicing; carotenoids biosynthesis	SIPSY1	[63]
	IncRNA33732	Resistance to Phytophthora infestans	RBOH	[132]
	IncRNA16397	Resistance to Phytophthora infestans	SIGRX21, SIGRX22	[130]
	IncRNA15492	Resistance to Phytophthora infestans	SI-miR482a	[133]
	IncRNA08489	Resistance to Phytophthora infestans	miR482e-3p	[134]
	IncRNA23468	Resistance to Phytophthora infestans	miR-482b	[135]
	IncRNA39026	Resistance to Phytophthora infestans	miR-168a	[136]
	IncRNA40787	Resistance to Phytophthora infestans	miR394	[137]
	IncRNA42705, IncRNA08711	Resistance to Phytophthora infestans	miR159	[131]
	slylnc0049, slylnc0761	Resistance to TYLCV	-	[50]
	S-slyInc0957	Resistance to TYLCV	-	[138]
	SILNR1	Resistance to TYLCV	-	[139]
	MSTRG18363	Bacillus subtilis SL18r-induced tomato resistance against Botrytis cinerea	miR1918	[51]
	IncRNA44664,	<i>Pseudomonas putida</i> Sneb821- induced tomato resistance against <i>Meloidogyne incognita</i>	miR396	[140]
	IncRNA48734	<i>Pseudomonas putida</i> Sneb821- induced tomato resistance against <i>Meloidogyne incognita</i>	miR156	[140]
Brassica oleracea	BoNR8	Seed germination; root and silique growth	-	[21]
Brassica rapa	bra-eTM160-1, bra-eTM160-2	Pollen development	miR160-5p	[43]
Brassica rapa ssp. pekinensis	MSTRG.19915	Resistance to downy mildew	BrMAPK15	[145]
Brassica campestris	bra-miR5718HG	Pollen tube growth	miR5718	[73]
	BcMF11	Pollen development; male fertility	-	[39, 40]
Solanum tuberosum	StFLORE	Tuber development; drought response	StCDF1	[88]
	StLNC0004	Resistance to Phytophthora infestans	NbEXT	[49]

and carotenoid pathways or directing the methylation of some critical genes involved in fruit ripening<sup>[41]</sup>. Silencing of either IncRNA1459 or IncRNA1840 resulted in a repressed tomato fruit ripening process<sup>[61]</sup>. The knockout mutant of lncRNA1459 was obtained by using the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-associated protein 9 (Cas9) system, which, in addition to severely delayed fruit ripening, significantly reduced ethylene biosynthesis and lycopene accumulation compared with wild-type, and meanwhile the expression of fruit-ripening-related genes and IncRNAs was also impaired<sup>[62]</sup>. RIPENING INHIBITOR (RIN) is one of the known core regulators of fruit ripening, in vivo and in vitro experiments have shown that IncRNA2155 could be targeted by RIN, IncRNA2155 knockout mutant exhibited delayed fruit ripening and the expression of ripening-related transcription factors, ethylene and carotenoids biosynthetic genes were also declined<sup>[38]</sup>. The ripening process of the tomato fruit is generally accompanied by the accumulation of carotenoids, and phytoene synthase (PSY) is the rate-limiting enzyme of carotenoid biosynthesis. Evidence showed that the transsplicing between IncRNA ACoS-AS1 and its cognate sense transcript SIPSY1 may be responsible for the loss of function of SIPSY1, which further resulted in the yellow color of fruit in Solanum Lycopersicum var. cerasiforme accession PI 114490<sup>[63]</sup>. ACoS-AS1 was found to be an essential regulator of the transsplicing event by generating ACoS-AS1 mutate, which gave rise to red fruit color in PI 114490<sup>[63]</sup>. Pepper is also an important vegetable worldwide and a model plant for studying the ripening process of non-climacteric flesh fruits. Yang et al. systematically identified 20,563 IncRNAs during three fruit development stages in C. chinense Jacq[64]. Among these, 11,826 were differentially expressed with 5,918 upregulated and 5,908 downregulated<sup>[64]</sup>. To investigate the regulatory roles of non-coding RNAs in bell pepper fruit ripening, Zuo et al. conducted RNA-seq to explore the expression pattern of IncRNAs in the bell pepper fruit ripening process, and 366 IncRNAs were discovered to exhibit distinct expression patterns in mature green and red ripe fruit<sup>[65]</sup>. LncRNAs were also involved in hot pepper fruit development, which was verified by comparative analysis of the IncRNA transcript abundance in successive fruit development stages<sup>[66]</sup>.

## Vernalization

Plants have evolved mechanisms to sense their environment and alter their growth and development for adaptation accordingly. Most varieties of Brassica vegetables must undergo lowtemperature vernalization to realize the transition from vegetative growth to reproductive growth<sup>[67]</sup>. This process is crucial for floral organ formation as well as flowering time regulation. By conducting comparative transcriptome analysis, some IncRNAs were found to be differentially expressed before and after vernalization in *Brassica* crops<sup>[42,68,69]</sup>. Furthermore, some IncRNAs were identified as key IncRNAs involved in vernalization through bioinformatic analysis. For instance, in B. rapa, the antisense transcript of BrFLC and BrMAF, which act as repressors of flowering, may play a role in the transcriptional response to vernalization<sup>[68]</sup>. In Chinese cabbage, the vernalization-related IncRNAs, cirRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs were screened for ceRNA network construction, and several IncRNAs were identified as valuable candidates in the vernalization pathway based on this network<sup>[69]</sup>.

#### The role of IncRNAs in vegetables

#### Pollen development and male sterility

Male plant sterility, broadly defined as the inability to produce dehiscent anthers, functional pollen, and viable male gametes, opens up new avenues for the utilization of heterosis. In 1763, male sterility was first observed by German botanist Joseph Gottlieb Kolreuter, and more than 610 plant species have been reported to be sterile<sup>[70,71]</sup>. At present, many *Brassica* crops have abundant male sterility variant materials, which have been widely utilized in production, but the molecular mechanism of male sterility is still elusive. Pollen abortion is a phenotypic feature of male sterility; therefore, a more in-depth exploration of the molecular regulation mechanism of pollen or anther development is an effective method to understand male sterility. LncRNAs were identified as participants in the process of pollen/anther development and male sterility in Brassica crops<sup>[34,43,72-74]</sup>. For example, an RNA-seg experiment was performed to investigate the dynamic gene expression changes during successive pollen development stages of B. rapa. It is worth noting that 14 IncRNAs were revealed to be strongly co-expressed with 10 function-known coding genes which were related to pollen development. In particular, further exploration of these IncRNAs demonstrated that two IncRNAs, braeTM160-1 and bra-eTM160-2, were negatively involved in pollen formation and male fertility by acting as eTMs of miR160-5p, which further released the transcript of ARF genes<sup>[43]</sup>. Another study performed whole transcriptome sequencing to enclose the regulatory network of pollen development in different B. campestris sterile lines, of which bra-miR5718HG was demonstrated to reduce the expression of miR5718 and upregulate purple acid phosphorylase 10 (braPAP10), thus inhibiting the growth of pollen tubes and influencing seed set<sup>[73]</sup>. BcMF11 is a IncRNA that was strongly expressed in the floral organs, and it was confirmed to play an essential role in pollen development by conducting antisense RNA strategy-mediated downregulation of BcMF11 transcript, which leads to abnormal pollen development<sup>[39,40]</sup>. In addition to Brassica crops, IncRNAs are regarded as a critical regulator in the floral bud development process in pepper through performing RNA-seg and bioinformatic analysis of the transcript abundance in the cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS) line and maintainer line, which laid the foundation for further study of the molecular mechanisms underlying CMS<sup>[75]</sup>. Moreover, by conducting strand-specific RNA sequencing (ssRNA-seq), IncRNAs were found to be involved in sperm cell lineage development in tomato<sup>[76]</sup>.

#### Anthocyanin biosynthesis

Anthocyanins are important pigments that are beneficial to health and have major contributions to the quality of fruit<sup>[77,78]</sup>. At present, the biosynthetic pathway of anthocyanins is well understood, and key regulatory genes have been identified in many species<sup>[79]</sup>. However, the role of lncRNAs in anthocyanin biosynthesis remains unclear. It is known that anthocyanins are accumulated under light exposure, and in pepper, 172 differentially expressed lncRNAs were identified on the lightexposed and shaded surface of pepper fruit<sup>[44]</sup>. In potato, Tang et al. found 1,421 differentially expressed lncRNAs between purple- and yellow-fleshed potato tubers. Furthermore, through constructing a lncRNA-mRNA interaction network, lncRNAs such as XLOC\_060098 and XLOC\_017372 were identified as positive regulators in anthocyanin biosynthesis by target anthocyanin-associated genes<sup>[80]</sup>. LncRNAs were also

implicated in the anthocyanin biosynthesis of potato leaves<sup>[81]</sup>. Gene annotation suggested that lncRNAs could regulate the expression of *PAL*, *F3H*, and *CHS*, which are critical genes in the anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway and thus modulate the color of potato leaves<sup>[81]</sup>. Carrot is also an important vegetable that has been cultivated for thousands of years. Carrots were originally purple, and modern yellow varieties were domesticated from mutants lacking anthocyanins. By comparative analysis of the expression profile of lncRNAs in two carrot genotypes with a strong difference in anthocyanin accumulation in roots, Chialva et al. identified 639 lncRNAs with distinct expression patterns between these two genotypes, of which the natural antisense transcript of *DcMYB7* was suggested to play an important role in anthocyanin pigmentation<sup>[82]</sup>.

#### Others

LncRNAs are also involved in other developmental events. In voung tomato stems, 196 IncRNAs were discovered to be differentially expressed between woolly mutant LA3560 (Wo) and its non-woolly segregants (WT). Among them, IncRNA 000170 and its cognate sense transcript, Solyc10q006360, exhibited a common expression trend, and overexpression of either of them could inhibit type I trichome formation<sup>[83]</sup>. Sprouting is the key factor leading to a quality deterioration of potato tubers and other huge storage losses<sup>[84]</sup>. Many studies have attempted to reveal the molecular mechanisms underlying potato sprouting<sup>[85,86]</sup>. Among them, the expression of 723 IncRNAs was distinct in potato tubers from dormancy to sprouting, and these IncRNAs may function by affecting the cellular components and cellular metabolic processes of potato apical buds<sup>[87]</sup>. Furthermore, a IncRNA named StFLORE together with its counterpart StCDF1 was found to be involved in tuber development and drought response by creating StFLORE knockout mutants and overexpression lines<sup>[88]</sup>. In spinach, several well-known flowering-related genes such as ELF, COL1, FLT, and FPF1 and also some important flowering transcription factor genes such as MYB, WRKY, GATA, and MADS-box were potential targets for IncRNAs<sup>[89]</sup>. Based on PacBio Iso-seq and Illumina RNA-seq data, Li et al. discovered 42 differentially expressed IncRNAs in male and female spinach flowers, suggesting the role of IncRNAs in sex determination<sup>[37]</sup>. In cabbage, Wu et al. identified a IncRNA homologous to Arabidopsis AtR8, BoNR8. Studies have shown that BoNR8 could respond to abiotic stress and negatively regulate seed germination and root and silique growth<sup>[21]</sup>. B. napus is a conventional oil crop with high economic value. Some IncRNAs were found to be important regulators in oil biosynthesis after comparative analysis of IncRNAs at multiple seed development stages and co-expression analysis<sup>[90]</sup>. Moreover, IncRNAs were implicated in cuticular wax biosynthesis in cabbage<sup>[91]</sup>.

#### Participation in abiotic stress responses

Plants are constantly affected by adverse environmental factors. To survive under various abiotic stresses, plants have to rapidly activate defense mechanisms and adapt to stressful environments<sup>[92–94]</sup>. Among them, lncRNAs have been reported to be involved in multiple abiotic stress responses<sup>[5,95–99]</sup>.

Drought is an important stress factor that affects the normal growth and development of plants. The research on the effects of drought stress on the growth and development of vegetables and crops has always been one of the hotspots in the field of stress research<sup>[100–102]</sup>. In a previous study, a total of 244 IncRNAs were identified and characterized in drought-

exposed tomato leaves<sup>[45]</sup>. Some of them may act as eTMs of miRNAs or through IncRNA-mRNA interactions to respond to drought stress<sup>[45]</sup>. According to strand-specific RNA-seq, 67,770 IncRNAs were discovered at different anther development stages of tomato, of which 3,053 were drought-responsive<sup>[103]</sup>. In drought-tolerant B. napus Q2 and drought-sensitive B. napus Qinyou8, 477 and 706 IncRNAs were differentially expressed between the two genotypes under drought stress and rehydration treatment, respectively<sup>[104]</sup>. Furthermore, a coexpression network of IncRNAs and mRNAs was constructed for functional prediction of these IncRNAs<sup>[104]</sup>. In potatoes, the role of IncRNAs under drought stress was also explored. A total of 3,445 IncRNAs were identified in different periods of drought stress, and function enrichment analysis indicated that they may be involved in drought response by modulating the 'ubiguitin-mediated proteolysis' pathway<sup>[105]</sup>.

In the 21st century, the frequent occurrence of extreme hightemperature events will bring a great threat to agricultural production. Growing evidence showed that IncRNAs may play an essential role in heat resistance in Brassica crops<sup>[15,46,106–108]</sup>. In Chinese cabbage, IncRNAs could interact with mRNAs and miRNAs to form a network that affected plant hormone pathways and responded to heat stress<sup>[46]</sup>. In *B. juncea*, IncRNAs can also respond to heat and drought stress by functioning as putative targets of miRNAs, or through interaction with abioticstress-related transcription factors<sup>[107]</sup>. Furthermore, in our previous study, 1,229 differentially expressed IncRNAs were identified as being heat-responsive in Chinese cabbage; they can confer thermotolerance by affecting the 'protein processing in the endoplasmic reticulum' and 'plant hormone signaling' pathways, as well as the expression patterns of HSPs and ABA receptor PYL genes<sup>[15]</sup>. The role of lncRNAs in cucumber and radish under heat stress has also been explored. In cucumber, a total of 2.085 IncRNAs were found to be differentially expressed when exposed to heat stress, and some of them may have executive functions by acting as ceRNAs to compete for miRNA binding sites with mRNAs<sup>[109]</sup>. Radish is a semi-hardy vegetable, and high temperature is one of the greatest threats to its growth and development. Through performing ssRNA-seq, 169 IncRNAs were predicted to be heatresponsive and one IncRNA-miRNA-mRNA combination was constructed that provided valuable clues for further studies to elucidate their precise functions<sup>[110]</sup>.

Low-temperature storage is a common storage method for fruits and vegetables after harvest, but for cold-sensitive vegetables such as tomatoes and peppers, improper storage will often cause serious chilling damage. The regulatory relationship between IncRNA and fruit chilling stress has also been investigated in previous studies<sup>[47,111]</sup>. Combined with RNA-seq and bioinformatic analysis, 239 IncRNAs involved in chilling injury were identified in tomato, some of which may function by targeting chilling-injury-related genes<sup>[47]</sup>. In particular, a complex regulatory network composed of miRNAs, IncRNAs, and their regulatory targets was established to fully understand the molecular mechanism of IncRNAs in chilling stress response<sup>[47]</sup>. Likewise, 380 chilling-responsive IncRNA were identified in bell pepper, and their potential targets and relationship with miRNAs, circRNAs, and mRNAs were also assessed to uncover the influenced pathways and processes<sup>[111]</sup>.

LncRNAs also play important roles in other types of abiotic stresses. In tomatoes, fruit cracking occurs easily under abiotic

stresses. Plants have evolved defense mechanisms and regulatory networks to combat this damage. Xue et al. investigated the expression profiles of mRNAs and IncRNAs at different stages of saturated irrigation-treated tomato fruits, and some IncRNAs (XLOC 16662, XLOC\_033910, etc.) were identified as participants in regulating tomato fruit cracking via a IncRNAmRNA (hormone-redox-cell wall) network<sup>[112]</sup>. By examining the differences in the expression of IncRNAs before and after salt treatment in wild and cultivated tomato materials, Li et al. screened some salt-induced LncRNAs, which may affect tomato salt tolerance by regulating the expression of hormonepathway-related genes<sup>[48]</sup>. Cucumber is characterized by a shallow root system. Limited availability of oxygen often occurs during the cucumber cultivation period in unfavorable environmental conditions, one of which is excess water in the soil, which causes leaf wilting, chlorosis, and necrosis and decreased growth rates and yields due to the lack of available oxygen required to support aerobic respiration<sup>[113,114]</sup>. Through conducting high-throughput RNA-seq, 71 IncRNAs were predicted as members participating in acquiring hypoxia tolerance under long-term waterlogging stress in cucumber, and some of them may function by interacting with miRNAs<sup>[115]</sup>. In plants, phosphorus is a macronutrient essential for plant growth and yield and plays an important role in nucleic acid, phospholipid composition, energy transfer, and signal transduction<sup>[116]</sup>. Available forms of phosphorus (phosphate, Pi) are generally low in soil, and many plant species have evolved complex adaptive responses to maintain Pi homeostasis<sup>[117–120]</sup>. LncRNAs were implicated in maintaining phosphate homeostasis in cucumber. Grafting studies combined with RNA-seq identified 22 IncRNAs that could serve as systemic signals during the early Pi deficiency response and can move a long distance from the source region into sink tissues<sup>[121]</sup>. Cadmium (Cd), a toxic heavy metal, is one of the main inorganic pollutants in the environment<sup>[122,123]</sup>. It can be freely absorbed and accumulated by plants, resulting in the disruption of nutrient homeostasis, the recurrence of toxicity symptoms, and interference with many physiological processes<sup>[124,125]</sup>. LncRNAs were also involved in mediating cadmium toxic response and detoxication in B. napus. Of the 5,038 IncRNAs identified, 301 were cadmium-responsive<sup>[126]</sup>.

# Participation in biotic stress responses

Vegetables often suffer from various biotic stresses during their growth and development, such as infection by fungi, bacteria, viruses, and nematodes<sup>[127]</sup>. Late blight is one of the most devastating diseases affecting Solanaceae crops and can cause a massive reduction in or even the extinction of potato and tomato production<sup>[128,129]</sup>. Phytophthora infestans is the causal agent of late blight; therefore, it is of great significance to study the resistance mechanism of tomato and potato to P. infestans. Based on the published RNA-sequencing data, Cao et al. discovered 133 IncRNAs involved in the resistance of P. infestans in potatoes and their regulatory mechanisms by constructing an interaction network<sup>[49]</sup>. It was remarkable that after transient transformation of StLNC0004 into tobacco, the expression of extensin (NbEXT) was activated, accompanied by the enhancement of resistance to *P. infestans*<sup>[49]</sup>. In tomato, the role of IncRNAs in P. infestans resistance has been widely explored<sup>[130,131]</sup>. Tomato IncRNA33732 activated by WRKY1 is positively involved in tomato resistance to P. infestans by

inducing the expression of RESPIRATORY BURST OXIDASE (RBOH) and increasing H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation during early infecting stages<sup>[132]</sup>. IncRNA16397 could induce the expression of SIGRXs, resulting in a reduction in the accumulation of ROS and damage to the cell membrane, which in turn enhances tomato resistance<sup>[130]</sup>. SI-IncRNA15492 acts against P. infestans infection via inhibiting the expression of mature SI-miR482a, which could target SI-NBS-LRR resistance genes<sup>[133]</sup>. Additionally, IncRNAs could function as ceRNA to modulate the expression of resistance-related genes by decoying miRNAs in the tomato-P. infestans interaction. Among them, IncRNA23468 and IncRNA 08489 could decoy miR482b and miR482e-3p, respectively, to affect the expression of NBS-LRR genes<sup>[134,135]</sup>. IncRNA39026 can positively regulate Argonaute proteins 1(AGO1) by decoying miR168a and improve the transcript level of PR genes<sup>[136]</sup>. IncRNA40787 can suppress the expression of miR394, thereby improving the transcript abundance of LCR and changing the expression of JA-related genes<sup>[137]</sup>. Furthermore, some IncRNAs could modulate the expression of resistance-related transcription factors by decoying miRNAs, thus enhancing tomato resistance<sup>[131]</sup>.

Apart from the role of IncRNAs in *P. infestans* resistance, they were also implicated in yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV) infection responses<sup>[50,138]</sup>. Wang et al. identified 529 IncRNAs that could respond to TYLCV infection in the resistant tomato breeding line CLN2777a, and several IncRNAs could serve as miRNA target mimics involved in disease resistance. Two of the IncRNAs, slyInc0049 and slyInc0761, that exhibited a substantial increase after TYLCV inoculation, were functionally characterized by virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS), and it was found that silenced tomato plants accumulated more virus than controls<sup>[50]</sup>. Furthermore, the role of lncRNAs in virus resistance in TYLCV-susceptible tomato line JS-CT-9210 was explored, and silencing of S-slylnc0957 resulted in improved resistance of tomato to TYLCV infection<sup>[102]</sup>. In addition, in tomato, the host IncRNA SILNR1 in susceptible but not in resistant cultivars could interact with viral siRNA which was derived from intergenic region (IR) of TYLCV genome, thereby affecting virus accumulation and disease development during TYLCV infection<sup>[139]</sup>.

LncRNAs can also mediate *Bacillus subtilis* SL18r-induced tomato resistance to *Botrytis cinerea*, in which MSTRG18363 may modulate the expression of *SlATL20* by decoying miR1918, thereby triggering the process of induced systemic resistance (ISR) against pathogens<sup>[51]</sup>. Yang et al. identified 78 lncRNAs that were implicated in *Pseudomonas putida* Sneb821-induced tomato resistance to *Meloidogyne incognita*, of which lncRNA 44664 and lncRNA48734 could decoy miR396 and miR156, respectively, to competitively inhibit the expression of their target genes, thereby conferring resistance to *M. incognita* infection<sup>[140]</sup>. In addition, according to a comprehensive assessment of lncRNA expression profiles, lncRNAs were found to be involved in the immune response against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* (*Pst*) and potato spindle tuber viroid (PSTVd) in tomato<sup>[52,141]</sup>.

As with all crops, *Brassica* species are constantly threatened by biotic stresses during production, resulting in huge economic losses. The role of lncRNAs in Brassica crops in mediating responses to *Plasmodiophora brassicae*, *Hyaloperonospora brassica*, *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, and *Fusarium oxysporum* was explored<sup>[142–146]</sup>. For instance, in Chinese cabbage, by comparing the lncRNA expression profiles before and after *P*.

brassicae infection, 114 differentially expressed IncRNAs were identified, and 16 of them were predicted to interact with 15 defense-responsive genes based on the expression correlation between IncRNAs and mRNAs<sup>[143]</sup>. The role of IncRNAs in P. brassicae response was also explored in B. napus, of which 530 IncRNAs were found to exhibit distinct expression patterns in clubroot-susceptible and clubroot-resistant lines<sup>[144]</sup>. Downy mildew is an important oomycete disease threatening the production of Brassica vegetables worldwide. It was found that IncRNAs may participate in the disease defense response by regulating the expression of resistance-related genes<sup>[145]</sup>. Furthermore, silencing IncRNA MSTRG.19915 induced the expression of BrMAPK15 and improved resistance to downy mildew<sup>[145]</sup>. Additionally, 931 IncRNAs were involved in S. sclerotiorum infection response in B. napus<sup>[142]</sup>. Following F. oxysporum f. sp. conglutinans (Foc) inoculation, the expression of natural antisense IncRNAs was positively correlated with their cognate sense genes in *B. rapa*<sup>[146]</sup>.

Comprehensive analysis of the expression of IncRNAs in Phytophthora capsici-resistant grafted peppers and susceptible samples revealed a total of 607 differentially expressed IncRNAs<sup>[147]</sup>. These IncRNAs participate in disease resistance responses in part through a lincRNA-miRNA-mRNA interaction network that regulates the expression of disease defenserelated genes<sup>[147]</sup>. LncRNAs were also involved in resistance to Pectobacterium carotovorum and potato virus Y (PVY) in potato<sup>[148,149]</sup>. Kwenda et al. identified 559 lncRNAs that are P. carotovorum-responsive, and 17 IncRNAs were highly correlated with 12 defense-related genes through co-expression analysis<sup>[148]</sup>. A systematic RNA-seq analysis explored a comprehensive landscape of 4,007 IncRNAs in tomato infected by PVY at normal and elevated temperature status, of which 12 IncRNAs participated in stress response regulation by recruiting complex mechanisms based on eTM<sup>[149]</sup>. Cucumber downy mildew (DM) is the most serious epidemic disease in the production of cucumbers in solar greenhouses. After the onset of the disease, most of the leaves of the cucumbers can be withered, and the cucumber fields will turn yellow. To reveal the resistance mechanism of this disease. Nie et al. have performed ssRNA-seg and miRNA-seg to explore the roles of IncRNAs, mRNAs, and miRNAs in DM resistance<sup>[150]</sup>. According to the expression profiles in resistant and susceptible cucumber lines, a total of 119 IncRNAs were identified to be associated with DM resistance since their expression changed after inoculation with DM. Furthermore, a IncRNA-miRNA-mRNA interaction network was set up to reveal the action mode of IncRNAs in DM response<sup>[150]</sup>.

## Participation in other biological processes

Apart from participating in growth and development, in response to abiotic and biotic stresses, lncRNAs are also involved in many other biological processes in vegetable crops, such as ethylene response and species divergence<sup>[151–153]</sup>. Heterosis is a universal phenomenon in biology. The hybrid generation obtained by crossing different strains, varieties, and even different species often exhibits stronger growth rates and metabolic functions than its parents. Allopolyploid is a manifestation of hybrid vigor, which is obtained by doubling the chromosomes of hybrids produced by crossing different species. LncRNAs were found to be implicated in heterosis and displayed distinct expression patterns in allopolyploids and

their parents<sup>[154,155]</sup>. In pepper, 1,932 IncRNAs were identified to be associated with heterosis, and a co-expression network was constructed to illustrate the functional modes of IncRNAs<sup>[154]</sup>. In Cucumis, the allotetraploid Cucumis hytivus was produced by chromosome doubling after crossing cultivated cucumber C. sativus with wild-type C. hystrix. Through systemic analysis of the transcriptome, 1,328 IncRNAs were found to be activated following hybridization. Some of their cis-regulatory targets were involved in the regulation of biological chloroplasts, and the others may be associated with epigenetic regulation of leaf verticillium and enhanced photosynthesis<sup>[155]</sup>. The function of IncRNAs in allopolyploidization was also explored in Brassica genus. Wang et al. discovered 725 differentially expressed IncRNAs between Brassica hexaploid and its parents, and the IncRNAs in the hexaploidy exhibited a significant paternal expression bias. The IncRNA-mRNA interaction network was constructed to visually display the relationship between IncRNAs and their potential target genes. Furthermore, the IncRNAs may perform their roles partially by functioning as ceRNAs or miRNA precursors<sup>[156]</sup>.

# **Conclusions and perspectives**

The wide application of high-throughput RNA sequencing has provided revolutionary ways to discover novel IncRNAs<sup>[157,158]</sup>. In this review, we introduced the structures and expression features and highlighted the biological functions of IncRNAs in major vegetable crops. This work shows that IncRNAs could participate in a wide range of biological processes, including many development events, such as vernalizaion, fruit ripening, pollen or anther development, anthocyanin biosynthesis, flowering, and sex differentiation. LncRNAs were also confirmed to be involved in a serious of abiotic and biotic stress responses, such as drought, heat, cold, salt, chilling, P. infestans, TYLCV, Pst, and PSTVd (Table 1). However, in comparison with the research on humans and animals, the research involving plants is still in its infancy<sup>[159,160]</sup>. Although genome sequencing data have been reported for dozens of plants, annotations in most plant species lack information on IncRNAs, and studies of IncRNA functions are limited to only a few model angiosperms<sup>[161]</sup>. Among the species we explored in vegetable crops, the IncRNA research was mainly concentrated on tomato and Brassica crops, while few studies existed for other vegetable species. Furthermore, the function annotated IncRNAs are limited and only confined to a few cases (Fig. 2 & Table 2). Therefore, it is imperative to expand the research of IncRNA into other vegetables, and more efforts should be made towards a systematic analysis of the regulatory roles of noncoding RNAs in biological processes. Furthermore, the application of traditional reverse genetics based on highly efficient and stable plant genetic transformation systems, such as overexpression and RNAi as well as CRISPR/Cas9 gene-editing technology, would enrich our understanding of the precise function of IncRNAs in plants<sup>[162,163]</sup>.

LncRNA regulates the function of its target genes in a *cis* or *trans* manner through various mechanisms of interaction with DNA, RNA, or proteins<sup>[164–166]</sup>. IncRNAs often work in highly intricate networks to regulate plant growth and development, as well as stress responses<sup>[167–169]</sup>. Several tools have been developed to predict the function modes of lncRNA, for example, the lncRNATargets platform was conducted to predict

the interaction of IncRNAs and mRNAs, SpongeScan for IncRNAs and miRNAs, TF2LncRNA for IncRNAs, and transcription factors and RegRNA for identification of functional sites of IncRNAs<sup>[170–173]</sup>. Among them, the interaction between IncRNAs and miRNAs has received a lot of attention. In this paper, we outline many examples of the IncRNA functions as ceRNAs involved in fruit ripening, pollen development, resistance to P. infestans infection, salt stress tolerance, etc.<sup>[41,48,72,131]</sup>. Furthermore, the crosstalk network between IncRNAs and miRNAs was constructed by bioinformatics researchers for different vegetables under various experimental conditions, which expanded our knowledge of the function modes of IncRNAs. It is generally believed that the specific spatial structures of IncRNAs affect their interactions with other molecular elements, and the functional motif is necessary for physical interaction with various partners<sup>[174,175]</sup>. Therefore, it is of high importance to further explore the sequence motifs and secondary/tertiary structures, which is essential for fully elucidating the mechanisms of IncRNA regulation and developing new methods to predict IncRNA targets. These studies will provide a new perspective on the involvement of IncRNAs in the complex gene regulatory networks of plant growth and development and stress responses.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32172583), Natural Science Foundation of Hebei (C2021209005, C2021209019), and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2020M673188, 2021T140097).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Supplementary Information** accompanies this paper at (https://www.maxapress.com/article/doi/10.48130/VR-2022-0014)

# Dates

Received 24 June 2022; Accepted 27 September 2022; Published online 27 October 2022

# REFERENCES

- Wilhelm BT, Marguerat S, Watt S, Schubert F, Wood V, et al. 2008. Dynamic repertoire of a eukaryotic transcriptome surveyed at single-nucleotide resolution. *Nature* 453:1239–43
- Wang H, Niu QW, Wu HW, Liu J, Ye J, et al. 2015. Analysis of noncoding transcriptome in rice and maize uncovers roles of conserved IncRNAs associated with agriculture traits. *The Plant Journal* 84:404–16
- 3. Zhang P, Wu W, Chen Q, Chen M. 2019. Non-Coding RNAs and their Integrated Networks. *Journal of Integrative Bioinformatics* 16:20190027
- 4. Mercer TR, Dinger ME, Mattick JS. 2009. Long non-coding RNAs: insights into functions. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 10:155–59
- 5. Jha UC, Nayyar H, Jha R, Khurshid M, Zhou M, et al. 2020. Long non-coding RNAs: emerging players regulating plant abiotic stress response and adaptation. *BMC Plant Biology* 20:466
- Wierzbicki AT, Haag JR, Pikaard CS. 2008. Noncoding transcription by RNA polymerase Pol IVb/Pol V mediates transcriptional silencing of overlapping and adjacent genes. *Cell* 135:635–48

- Gil N, Ulitsky I. 2020. Regulation of gene expression by cis-acting long non-coding RNAs. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 21:102–17
- Ponting CP, Oliver PL, Reik W. 2009. Evolution and functions of long noncoding RNAs. *Cell* 136:629–41
- 9. Guil S, Esteller M. 2012. *Cis*-acting noncoding RNAs: friends and foes. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* 19:1068–75
- Fatica A, Bozzoni I. 2014. Long non-coding RNAs: new players in cell differentiation and development. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 15:7–21
- 11. Zhang H, Guo H, Hu W, Ji W. 2020. The emerging role of long non-coding RNAs in plant defense against fungal stress. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 21:2659
- 12. Zhao X, Li J, Lian B, Gu H, Li Y, et al. 2018. Global identification of *Arabidopsis* IncRNAs reveals the regulation of *MAF4* by a natural antisense RNA. *Nature Communications* 9:5056
- Wang Y, Luo X, Sun F, Hu J, Zha X, et al. 2018. Overexpressing IncRNA *LAIR* increases grain yield and regulates neighbouring gene cluster expression in rice. *Nature Communications* 9:3516
- 14. Zhou Y, Zhang Y, Sun Y, Yu Y, Lei M, et al. 2021. The parent-oforigin IncRNA *MISSEN* regulates rice endosperm development. *Nature Communications* 12:6525
- 15. Song X, Hu J, Wu T, Yang Q, Feng X, et al. 2021. Comparative analysis of long noncoding RNAs in angiosperms and characterization of long noncoding RNAs in response to heat stress in Chinese cabbage. *Horticulture Research* 8:48
- Ulitsky I, Bartel DP. 2013. lincRNAs: genomics, evolution, and mechanisms. *Cell* 154:26–46
- Liu X, Hao L, Li D, Zhu L, Hu S. 2015. Long non-coding RNAs and their biological roles in plants. *Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics* 13:137–47
- Fabbri M, Girnita L, Varani G, Calin GA. 2019. Decrypting noncoding RNA interactions, structures, and functional networks. *Genome Research* 29:1377–88
- Carlevaro-Fita J, Johnson R. 2019. Global Positioning System: Understanding long noncoding RNAs through subcellular localization. *Molecular Cell* 73:869–83
- Kopp F, Mendell JT. 2018. Functional classification and experimental dissection of long noncoding RNAs. *Cell* 172:393–407
- Wu J, Liu C, Liu Z, Li S, Li D, et al. 2019. Pol III-dependent cabbage BoNR8 long ncRNA affects seed germination and growth in Arabidopsis. Plant & Cell Physiology 60:421–35
- Wang M, Zhao W, Gao L, Zhao L. 2018. Genome-wide profiling of long non-coding RNAs from tomato and a comparison with mRNAs associated with the regulation of fruit ripening. *BMC Plant Biology* 18:75
- 23. Chen L, Zhu QH, Kaufmann K. 2020. Long non-coding RNAs in plants: emerging modulators of gene activity in development and stress responses. *Planta* 252:92
- 24. Mehraj H, Shea DJ, Takahashi S, Miyaji N, Akter A, et al. 2021. Genome-wide analysis of long noncoding RNAs, 24-nt siRNAs, DNA methylation and H3K27me3 marks in *Brassica rapa*. *PLoS One* 16:e0242530
- Deng P, Liu S, Nie X, Song W, Wu L. 2018. Conservation analysis of long non-coding RNAs in plants. *Science China Life Sciences* 61:190–98
- van Dijk EL, Auger H, Jaszczyszyn Y, Thermes C. 2014. Ten years of next-generation sequencing technology. *Trends in Genetics* 30:418–26
- 27. Ilott NE, Ponting CP. 2013. Predicting long non-coding RNAs using RNA sequencing. *Methods* 63:50–59
- Steijger T, Abril JF, Engström PG, Kokocinski F, Hubbard TJ, et al. 2013. Assessment of transcript reconstruction methods for RNAseq. *Nature Methods* 10:1177–84
- 29. Roberts RJ, Carneiro MO, Schatz MC. 2013. The advantages of SMRT sequencing. *Genome Biology* 14:405
- 30. van Dijk EL, Jaszczyszyn Y, Naquin D, Thermes C. 2018. The third revolution in sequencing technology. *Trends in Genetics* 34:666–81

- Wang B, Tseng E, Regulski M, Clark TA, Hon T, et al. 2016. Unveiling the complexity of the maize transcriptome by singlemolecule long-read sequencing. *Nature Communications* 7:11708
- Dong L, Liu H, Zhang J, Yang S, Kong G, et al. 2015. Singlemolecule real-time transcript sequencing facilitates common wheat genome annotation and grain transcriptome research. *BMC Genomics* 16:1039
- Zhu FY, Chen MX, Ye NH, Shi L, Ma KL, et al. 2017. Proteogenomic analysis reveals alternative splicing and translation as part of the abscisic acid response in Arabidopsis seedlings. *The Plant Journal* 91:518–33
- Tan C, Liu H, Ren J, Ye X, Feng H, et al. 2019. Single-molecule realtime sequencing facilitates the analysis of transcripts and splice isoforms of anthers in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L. ssp. *pekinensis*). *BMC Plant Biol* 19:517
- 35. Clavijo BJ, Venturini L, Schudoma C, Accinelli GG, Kaithakottil G, et al. 2017. An improved assembly and annotation of the allohexaploid wheat genome identifies complete families of agronomic genes and provides genomic evidence for chromosomal translocations. *Genome Research* 27:885–96
- 36. Zhang L, Hu J, Han X, Li J, Gao Y, et al. 2019. A high-quality apple genome assembly reveals the association of a retrotransposon and red fruit colour. *Nature Communications* 10:1494
- 37. Li N, Meng Z, Tao M, Wang Y, Zhang Y, et al. 2020. Comparative transcriptome analysis of male and female flowers in *Spinacia oleracea* L. *BMC Genomics* 21:850
- Yu T, Tzeng DTW, Li R, Chen J, Zhong S, et al. 2019. Genome-wide identification of long non-coding RNA targets of the tomato MADS box transcription factor RIN and function analysis. *Annals* of *Botany* 123:469–82
- Song J, Cao J, Yu X, Xiang X. 2007. BcMF11, a putative pollenspecific non-coding RNA from Brassica campestris ssp chinensis. Journal of Plant Physiology 164:1097–100
- 40. Song JH, Cao JS, Wang CG. 2013. *BcMF11*, a novel non-coding RNA gene from *Brassica campestris*, is required for pollen development and male fertility. *Plant Cell Reports* 32:21–30
- 41. Zuo J, Grierson D, Courtney LT, Wang Y, Gao L, et al. 2020. Relationships between genome methylation, levels of noncoding RNAs, mRNAs and metabolites in ripening tomato fruit. *The Plant Journal* 103:980–94
- 42. Liu T, Wu P, Wang Q, Wang W, Zhang C, et al. 2018. Comparative transcriptome discovery and elucidation of the mechanism of long noncoding RNAs during vernalization in *Brassica rapa*. *Plant Growth Regulation* 85:27–39
- 43. Huang L, Dong H, Zhou D, Li M, Liu Y, et al. 2018. Systematic identification of long non-coding RNAs during pollen development and fertilization in *Brassica rapa*. *The Plant Journal* 96:203–22
- 44. Zhou Y, Mumtaz MA, Zhang Y, Yang Z, Hao Y, et al. 2022. Response of anthocyanin biosynthesis to light by strand-specific transcriptome and miRNA analysis in *Capsicum annuum*. *BMC Plant Biology* 22:79
- 45. Eom SH, Lee HJ, Lee JH, Wi SH, Kim SK, et al. 2019. Identification and functional prediction of drought-responsive long noncoding RNA in tomato. *Agronomy* 9:629
- 46. Wang A, Hu J, Gao C, Chen G, Wang B, et al. 2019. Genome-wide analysis of long non-coding RNAs unveils the regulatory roles in the heat tolerance of Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* ssp. *chinensis*). *Scientific Reports* 9:5002
- 47. Wang Y, Gao L, Zhu B, Zhu H, Luo Y, et al. 2018. Integrative analysis of long non-coding RNA acting as ceRNAs involved in chilling injury in tomato fruit. *Gene* 667:25–33
- 48. Li N, Wang Z, Wang B, Wang J, Xu R, et al. 2022. Identification and characterization of long non-coding RNA in tomato roots under salt stress. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 13:834027

Li et al. Vegetable Research 2022, 2:14

- 49. Cao W, Gan L, Wang C, Zhao X, Zhang M, et al. 2021. Genomewide identification and characterization of potato long noncoding RNAs associated with *Phytophthora infestans* resistance. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 12:619062
- 50. Wang J, Yu W, Yang Y, Li X, Chen T, et al. 2015. Genome-wide analysis of tomato long non-coding RNAs and identification as endogenous target mimic for microRNA in response to TYLCV infection. *Scientific Reports* 5:16946
- Zhou C, Zhu J, Qian N, Guo J, Yan C. 2020. Bacillus subtilis SL18r Induces Tomato Resistance Against Botrytis cinerea, Involving Activation of Long Non-coding RNA, MSTRG18363, to Decoy miR1918. Frontiers in Plant Science 11:634819
- 52. Rosli HG, Sirvent E, Bekier FN, Ramos RN, Pombo MA. 2021. Genome-wide analysis uncovers tomato leaf IncRNAs transcriptionally active upon *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* challenge. *Scientific Reports* 11:24523
- 53. Salmena L, Poliseno L, Tay Y, Kats L, Pandolfi PP. 2011. A *ceRNA* hypothesis: the Rosetta Stone of a hidden RNA language. *Cell* 146:353–58
- 54. Meng X, Li A, Yu B, Li S. 2021. Interplay between miRNAs and IncRNAs: Mode of action and biological roles in plant development and stress adaptation. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal* 19:2567–74
- Ameres SL, Horwich MD, Hung JH, Xu J, Ghildiyal M, et al. 2010. Target RNA-directed trimming and tailing of small silencing RNAs. *Science* 328:1534–39
- Baccarini A, Chauhan H, Gardner TJ, Jayaprakash AD, Sachidanandam R, Brown BD. 2011. Kinetic analysis reveals the fate of a microRNA following target regulation in mammalian cells. *Current Biology* 21:369–76
- 57. Xie J, Ameres SL, Friedline R, Hung JH, Zhang Y, et al. 2012. Longterm, efficient inhibition of microRNA function in mice using rAAV vectors. *Nature Methods* 9:403–9
- Yan J, Gu Y, Jia X, Kang W, Pan S, et al. 2012. Effective small RNA destruction by the expression of a short tandem target mimic in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* 24:415–27
- 59. Mei J, Jiang N, Ren G. 2019. The F-box protein HAWAIIAN SKIRT is required for mimicry target-induced microRNA degradation in *Arabidopsis. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* 61:1121–27
- Wang J, Feng Y, Ding X, Huo J, Nie W. 2021. Identification of Long Non-Coding RNAs Associated with Tomato Fruit Expansion and Ripening by Strand-Specific Paired-End RNA Sequencing. *Horticulturae* 7:522
- 61. Zhu B, Yang Y, Li R, Fu D, Wen L, et al. 2015. RNA sequencing and functional analysis implicate the regulatory role of long noncoding RNAs in tomato fruit ripening. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 66:4483–95
- 62. Li R, Fu D, Zhu B, Luo Y, Zhu H. 2018. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of *IncRNA1459* alters tomato fruit ripening. *The Plant Journal* 94:513–24
- 63. Xiao Y, Kang B, Li M, Xiao L, Xiao H, et al. 2020. Transcription of IncRNA *ACoS-AS1* is essential to *trans*-splicing between *SIPsy1* and *ACoS-AS1* that causes yellow fruit in tomato. *RNA Biology* 17:596–607
- 64. Yang S, Yang T, Tang Y, Aisimutuola P, Zhang G, et al. 2020. Transcriptomic profile analysis of non-coding RNAs involved in *Capsicum chinense* Jacq. fruit ripening. *Scientia Horticulturae* 264:109158
- 65. Zuo J, Wang Y, Zhu B, Luo Y, Wang Q, et al. 2019. Network analysis of noncoding RNAs in pepper provides insights into fruit ripening control. *Scientific Reports* 9:8734
- 66. Ou L, Liu Z, Zhang Z, Wei G, Zhang Y, et al. 2017. Noncoding and coding transcriptome analysis reveals the regulation roles of long noncoding RNAs in fruit development of hot pepper (*Capsicum annuum L.*). *Plant Growth Regulation* 83:141–56

- Bouché F, Woods DP, Amasino RM. 2017. Winter memory throughout the plant kingdom: different paths to flowering. *Plant Physiology* 173:27–35
- Shea DJ, Nishida N, Takada S, Itabashi E, Takahashi S, et al. 2019. Long noncoding RNAs in *Brassica rapa* L. following vernalization. *Scientific Reports* 9:9302
- 69. Shi F, Xu H, Liu C, Tan C, Ren J, et al. 2021. Whole-transcriptome sequencing reveals a vernalization-related ceRNA regulatory network in chinese cabbage (*Brassica campestris* L. ssp. *pekinensis*). *BMC Genomics* 22:819
- Mayr E. 1986. Joseph Gottlieb Kolreuter's contributions to biology. Osiris 2:135–76
- 71. Chen L, Liu YG. 2014. Male sterility and fertility restoration in crops. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 65:579–606
- 72. Shi F, Pang Z, Liu C, Zhou L, Tan C, et al. 2022. Whole-transcriptome analysis and construction of an anther developmentrelated ceRNA network in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica campestris* L. ssp. *pekinensis*). *Scientific Reports* 12:2667
- 73. Zhou D, Chen C, Jin Z, Chen J, Lin S, et al. 2022. Transcript profiling analysis and ncRNAs' identification of male-sterile systems of *Brassica campestris* reveal new insights into the mechanism underlying anther and pollen development. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 13:806865
- 74. Li P, Zhang D, Su T, Wang W, Yu Y, et al. 2020. Genome-wide analysis of mRNA and lncRNA expression and mitochondrial genome sequencing provide insights into the mechanisms underlying a novel cytoplasmic male sterility system, BVRC-CMS96, in *Brassicarapa*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 133:2157–70
- 75. Lv J, Liu Z, Yang B, Deng M, Wang J, et al. 2020. Systematic identification and characterization of long non-coding RNAs involved in cytoplasmic male sterility in pepper (*Capsicum annuum L.*). *Plant Growth Regulation* 91:277–88
- Liu L, Lu Y, Wei L, Yu H, Cao Y, et al. 2018. Transcriptomics analyses reveal the molecular roadmap and long non-coding RNA landscape of sperm cell lineage development. *The Plant Journal* 96:421–37
- He J, Giusti MM. 2010. Anthocyanins: natural colorants with health-promoting properties. *Annual Review of Food Science and Technology* 1:163–87
- Mattioli R, Francioso A, Mosca L, Silva P. 2020. Anthocyanins: A comprehensive review of their chemical properties and health effects on cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases. *Molecules* 25:3809
- 79. Jaakola L. 2013. New insights into the regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis in fruits. *Trends in Plant Science* 18:477–83
- 80. Tang R, Dong H, Wu W, Zhao C, Jia X, et al. 2021. A comparative transcriptome analysis of purple and yellow fleshed potato tubers reveals long non-coding RNAs and their targets functioned in anthocyanin biosynthesis. *Preprint*
- Bao Y, Nie T, Wang D, Chen Q. 2022. Anthocyanin regulatory networks in *Solanum tuberosum* L. leaves elucidated via integrated metabolomics, transcriptomics, and *StAN1* overexpression. *BMC Plant Biology* 22:1
- Chialva C, Blein T, Crespi M, Lijavetzky D. 2021. Insights into long non-coding RNA regulation of anthocyanin carrot root pigmentation. *Scientific Reports* 11:4093
- 83. Liao X, Wang J, Zhu S, Xie Q, Wang L, et al. 2020. Transcriptomic and functional analyses uncover the regulatory role of IncRNA000170 in tomato multicellular trichome formation. *The Plant Journal* 104:18–29
- Sonnewald S, Sonnewald U. 2014. Regulation of potato tuber sprouting. *Planta* 239:27–38
- Kolachevskaya OO, Lomin SN, Arkhipov DV, Romanov GA. 2019. Auxins in potato: molecular aspects and emerging roles in tuber formation and stress resistance. *Plant Cell Reports* 38:681–98

- 86. Li L, Deng M, Lyu C, Zhang J, Peng J, et al. 2020. Quantitative phosphoproteomics analysis reveals that protein modification and sugar metabolism contribute to sprouting in potato after BR treatment. *Food Chemistry* 325:126875
- Hou X, Du Y, Liu X, Zhang H, Liu Y, et al. 2017. Genome-wide analysis of long non-coding RNAs in potato and their potential role in tuber sprouting process. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 19:101
- Ramírez Gonzales L, Shi L, Bergonzi SB, Oortwijn M, Franco-Zorrilla JM, et al. 2021. Potato CYCLING DOF FACTOR 1 and its IncRNA counterpart *StFLORE* link tuber development and drought response. *The Plant Journal* 105:855–69
- Ghorbani F, Abolghasemi R, Haghighi M, Etemadi N, Wang S, et al. 2021. Global identification of long non-coding RNAs involved in the induction of spinach flowering. *BMC Genomics* 22:704
- Shen E, Zhu X, Hua S, Chen H, Ye C, et al. 2018. Genome-wide identification of oil biosynthesis-related long non-coding RNAs in allopolyploid *Brassica napus*. *BMC Genomics* 19:745
- Zhu X, Tai X, Ren Y, Chen J, Bo T. 2019. Genome-wide analysis of coding and long non-coding RNAs involved in cuticular wax biosynthesis in cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *capitata*). *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 20:2820
- Zhu J. 2016. Abiotic Stress Signaling and Responses in Plants. *Cell* 167:313–24
- Zandalinas SI, Mittler R. 2022. Plant responses to multifactorial stress combination. *New Phytologist* 234:1161–67
- 94. Markham KK, Greenham K. 2021. Abiotic stress through time. *New Phytologist* 231:40–46
- 95. Waititu JK, Zhang C, Liu J, Wang H. 2020. Plant non-coding RNAs: origin, biogenesis, mode of action and their roles in abiotic stress. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 21:8401
- Li Y, Li X, Yang J, He Y. 2020. Natural antisense transcripts of MIR398 genes suppress microR398 processing and attenuate plant thermotolerance. Nature Communications 11:5351
- Di C, Yuan J, Wu Y, Li J, Lin H, et al. 2014. Characterization of stress-responsive IncRNAs in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by integrating expression, epigenetic and structural features. *The Plant Journal* 80:848–61
- Kindgren P, Ard R, Ivanov M, Marquardt S. 2018. Transcriptional read-through of the long non-coding RNA SVALKA governs plant cold acclimation. Nature Communications 9:4561
- Qin T, Zhao H, Cui P, Albesher N, Xiong L. 2017. A nucleuslocalized long non-coding RNA enhances drought and salt stress tolerance. *Plant Physiology* 175:1321–36
- Ullah A, Sun H, Yang X, Zhang X. 2017. Drought coping strategies in cotton: increased crop per drop. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 15:271–84
- 101. Yang SJ, Vanderbeld B, Wan JX, Huang YF. 2010. Narrowing Down the Targets: Towards Successful Genetic Engineering of Drought-Tolerant Crops. *Molecular Plant* 3:469–90
- 102. McDowell N, Pockman WT, Allen CD, Breshears DD, Cobb N, et al. 2008. Mechanisms of plant survival and mortality during drought: why do some plants survive while others succumb to drought. *New Phytologist* 178:719–39
- 103. Lamin-Samu AT, Zhuo S, Ali M, Lu G. 2022. Long non-coding RNA transcriptome landscape of anthers at different developmental stages in response to drought stress in tomato. *Genomics* 114:110383
- 104. Tan X, Li S, Hu L, Zhang C. 2020. Genome-wide analysis of long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) in two contrasting rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) genotypes subjected to drought stress and re-watering. *BMC Plant Biol* 20:81
- 105. Jian H, Sun H, Liu R, Zhang W, Shang L, et al. 2022. Construction of drought stress regulation networks in potato based on SMRT and RNA sequencing data. *Preprint*

- 106. Eom SH, Lee HJ, Wi SH, Kim SK, Hyun TK. 2021. Identification and functional prediction of long non-coding RNAs responsive to heat stress in heading type Chinese cabbage. Zemdirbyste-Agriculture 108:371–76
- 107. Bhatia G, Singh A, Verma D, Sharma S, Singh K. 2020. Genomewide investigation of regulatory roles of IncRNAs in response to heat and drought stress in *Brassica juncea* (Indian mustard). *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 171:103922
- 108. Song X, Liu G, Huang Z, Duan W, Tan H, et al. 2016. Temperature expression patterns of genes and their coexpression with LncRNAs revealed by RNA-Seq in non-heading Chinese cabbage. BMC Genomics 17:297
- 109. He X, Guo S, Wang Y, Wang L, Shu S, et al. 2020. Systematic identification and analysis of heat-stress-responsive IncRNAs, circRNAs and miRNAs with associated co-expression and ceRNA networks in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Physiologia Plantarum* 168:736–54
- 110. Yang Z, Li W, Su X, Ge P, Zhou Y, et al. 2019. Early Response of Radish to Heat Stress by Strand-Specific Transcriptome and miRNA Analysis. *Int J Mol Sci* 20:3321
- 111. Zuo J, Wang Y, Zhu B, Luo Y, Wang Q, et al. 2018. Analysis of the coding and non-coding RNA transcriptomes in response to bell pepper chilling. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 19:2001
- 112. Xue L, Sun M, Wu Z, Yu L, Yu Q, et al. 2020. LncRNA regulates tomato fruit cracking by coordinating gene expression via a hormone-redox-cell wall network. *BMC Plant Biology* 20:162
- 113. Crawford RMM. 1992. Oxygen availability as an ecological limit to plant distribution. *Advances in Ecological Research* 23:93–185
- Sairam RK, Kumutha D, Ezhilmathi K, Deshmukh PS, Srivastava GC. 2008. Physiology and biochemistry of waterlogging tolerance in plants. *Biologia Plantarum* 52:401–12
- 115. Kęska K, Szcześniak MW, Adamus A, Czernicka M. 2021. Waterlogging-stress-responsive LncRNAs, their regulatory relationships with miRNAs and target genes in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 22:8197
- Meharg A. 2012. Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Ed. Marschner P. Third Edition. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Academic Press, Elsevier. 684 pp
- Schroeder JI, Delhaize E, Frommer WB, Guerinot ML, Harrison MJ, et al. 2013. Using membrane transporters to improve crops for sustainable food production. *Nature* 497:60–66
- 118. Zhang Z, Liao H, Lucas WJ. 2014. Molecular mechanisms underlying phosphate sensing, signaling, and adaptation in plants. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* 56:192–220
- 119. Puga MI, Rojas-Triana M, de Lorenzo L, Leyva A, Rubio V, et al. 2017. Novel signals in the regulation of Pi starvation responses in plants: facts and promises. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 39:40–49
- 120. Ham BK, Chen J, Yan Y, Lucas WJ. 2018. Insights into plant phosphate sensing and signaling. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 49:1–9
- 121. Zhang Z, Zheng Y, Ham BK, Zhang S, Fei Z, et al. 2019. Plant IncRNAs are enriched in and move systemically through the phloem in response to phosphate deficiency. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* 61:492–508
- 122. Genchi G, Sinicropi MS, Lauria G, Carocci A, Catalano A. 2020. The effects of cadmium toxicity. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17:3782
- 123. Tchounwou PB, Yedjou CG, Patlolla AK, Sutton DJ. 2012. Heavy metal toxicity and the environment. In: *Molecular, Clinical and Environmental Toxicology. Experientia Supplementum*, ed. Luch, A. Vol 101. Basel: Springer. pp 133–64. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7643-8340-4\_6
- 124. Sanità di Toppi L, Gabbrielli R. 1999. Response to cadmium in higher plants. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 41:105–30

- 125. Huybrechts M, Cuypers A, Deckers J, Iven V, Vandionant S, et al. 2019. Cadmium and plant development: An agony from seed to seed. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 20:3971
- 126. Feng S, Zhang X, Liu X, Tan S, Chu S, et al. 2016. Characterization of long non-coding RNAs involved in cadmium toxic response in *Brassica napus*. *RSC Advances* 6:82157–73
- 127. Chen L, Wang Q, Zhou L, Ren F, Li D, et al. 2013. Arabidopsis CBLinteracting protein kinase (CIPK6) is involved in plant response to salt/osmotic stress and ABA. *Molecular Biology Reports* 40:4759–67
- 128. Takemoto D, Shibata Y, Ojika M, Mizuno Y, Imano S, et al. 2018. Resistance to *Phytophthora infestans*: exploring genes required for disease resistance in Solanaceae plants. *Journal of General Plant Pathology* 84:312–20
- 129. Ivanov AA, Ukladov EO, Golubeva TS. 2021. *Phytophthora infestans*: An overview of methods and attempts to combat late blight. *Journal of Fungi* 7:1071
- 130. Cui J, Luan Y, Jiang N, Bao H, Meng J. 2017. Comparative transcriptome analysis between resistant and susceptible tomato allows the identification of IncRNA16397 conferring resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* by co-expressing glutaredoxin. *The Plant Journal* 89:577–89
- 131. Cui J, Jiang N, Hou X, Wu S, Zhang Q, et al. 2020. Genome-wide identification of lncRNAs and analysis of ceRNA networks during tomato resistance to *Phytophthora infestans*. *Phytopathology* 110:456–64
- 132. Cui J, Jiang N, Meng J, Yang G, Liu W, et al. 2019. LncRNA33732respiratory burst oxidase module associated with WRKY1 in tomato-Phytophthora infestans interactions. The Plant Journal 97:933–46
- 133. Jiang N, Cui J, Hou X, Yang G, Xiao Y, et al. 2020. SI-IncRNA15492 interacts with SI-miR482a and affects *Solanum lycopersicum* immunity against *Phytophthora infestans*. *The Plant Journal* 103:1561–74
- 134. Liu W, Cui J, Luan Y. 2022. Overexpression of IncRNA08489 enhances tomato immunity against *Phytophthora infestans* by decoying miR482e-3p. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 587:36–41
- 135. Jiang N, Cui J, Shi Y, Yang G, Zhou X, et al. 2019. Tomato IncRNA23468 functions as a competing endogenous RNA to modulate *NBS-LRR* genes by decoying miR482b in the tomato-*Phytophthora infestans* interaction. *Horticulture Research* 6:28
- 136. Hou X, Cui J, Liu W, Jiang N, Zhou X, et al. 2020. LncRNA39026 enhances tomato resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* by decoying miR168a and inducing *PR* gene expression. *Phytopathology* 110:873–80
- 137. Zhang Y, Hong Y, Liu Y, Cui J, Luan Y. 2021. Function identification of miR394 in tomato resistance to *Phytophthora infestans*. *Plant Cell Reports* 40:1831–44
- 138. Wang J, Yang Y, Jin L, Ling X, Liu T, et al. 2018. Re-analysis of long non-coding RNAs and prediction of circRNAs reveal their novel roles in susceptible tomato following TYLCV infection. *BMC Plant Biology* 18:104
- 139. Yang Y, Liu T, Shen D, Wang J, Ling X, et al. 2019. Tomato yellow leaf curl virus intergenic siRNAs target a host long noncoding RNA to modulate disease symptoms. *PLoS Pathogens* 15:e1007534
- 140. Yang F, Zhao D, Fan H, Zhu X, Wang Y, et al. 2020. Functional analysis of long non-coding RNAs reveal their novel roles in biocontrol of bacteria-induced tomato resistance to *Meloidogyne incognita*. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 21:911
- 141. Zheng Y, Wang Y, Ding B, Fei Z. 2017. Comprehensive transcriptome analyses reveal that potato spindle tuber viroid triggers genome-wide changes in alternative splicing, inducible *trans*-acting activity of phased secondary small interfering RNAs, and immune responses. *Journal of Virology* 91:e00247-17

- 142. Joshi RK, Megha S, Basu U, Rahman MH, Kav NN. 2016. Genome wide identification and functional prediction of long non-coding RNAs responsive to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* infection in *Brassica napus*. *PLoS One* 11:e0158784
- 143. Zhu H, Li X, Xi D, Zhai W, Zhang Z, et al. 2019. Integrating long noncoding RNAs and mRNAs expression profiles of response to *Plasmodiophora brassicae* infection in Pakchoi (*Brassica campestris* ssp. *chinensis Makino*). *PLoS One* 14:e0224927
- 144. Summanwar A, Basu U, Rahman H, Kav N. 2019. Identification of IncRNAs responsive to infection by *Plasmodiophora brassicae* in clubroot-susceptible and -resistant *Brassica napus* lines carrying resistance introgressed from rutabaga. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 32:1360–77
- 145. Zhang B, Su T, Li P, Xin X, Cao Y, et al. 2021. Identification of long noncoding RNAs involved in resistance to downy mildew in Chinese cabbage. *Horticulture Research* 8:44
- 146. Akter MA, Mehraj H, Miyaji N, Takahashi S, Takasaki-Yasuda T, et al. 2022. Transcriptional association between mRNAs and their paired natural antisense transcripts following *Fusarium oxysporum* inoculation in *Brassica rapa* L. *Horticulturae* 8:17
- 147. Yin J, Yan J, Hou L, Jiang L, Xian W, et al. 2021. Identification and functional deciphering suggested the regulatory roles of long intergenic ncRNAs (lincRNAs) in increasing grafting pepper resistance to *Phytophthora capsici*. *BMC Genomics* 22:868
- 148. Kwenda S, Birch PRJ, Moleleki LN. 2016. Genome-wide identification of potato long intergenic noncoding RNAs responsive to *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subspecies *brasiliense* infection. *BMC Genomics* 17:614
- 149. Glushkevich A, Spechenkova N, Fesenko I, Knyazev A, Samarskaya V, et al. 2022. Transcriptomic reprogramming, alternative splicing and RNA methylation in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) plants in response to potato virus Y infection. *Plants* 11:635
- 150. Nie J, Wang H, Zhang W, Teng X, Yu C, et al. 2021. Characterization of IncRNAs and mRNAs involved in powdery mildew resistance in cucumber. *Phytopathology* 111:1613–24
- 151. Wang Y, Gao L, Li J, Zhu B, Zhu H, et al. 2018. Analysis of longnon-coding RNAs associated with ethylene in tomato. *Gene* 674:151–60
- 152. Wang X, Ai G, Zhang C, Cui L, Wang J, et al. 2016. Expression and diversification analysis reveals transposable elements play important roles in the origin of Lycopersicon-specific IncRNAs in tomato. *New Phytologist* 209:1442–55
- 153. Zhang J, Wei L, Jiang J, Mason AS, Li H, et al. 2018. Genome-wide identification, putative functionality and interactions between IncRNAs and miRNAs in *Brassica* species. *Scientific Reports* 8:4960
- 154. Shu HY, Zhou H, Mu HL, Wu SH, Jiang YL, et al. 2021. Integrated analysis of mRNA and non-coding RNA transcriptome in pepper (*Capsicum chinense*) hybrid at seedling and flowering stages. *Frontiers in Genetics* 12:685788
- 155. Wang P, Yu X, Zhu Z, Zhai Y, Zhao Q, et al. 2020. Global profiling of IncRNAs expression responsive to allopolyploidization in *Cucumis. Genes* 11:1500
- 156. Wang R, Zou J, Meng J, Wang J. 2018. Integrative analysis of genome-wide IncRNA and mRNA expression in newly synthesized *Brassica* hexaploids. *Ecology and Evolution* 8:6034–52
- Wang Z, Gerstein M, Snyder M. 2009. RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 10:57–63

- 158. Jiang Q, Ma R, Wang J, Wu X, Jin S, et al. 2015. LncRNA2Function: a comprehensive resource for functional investigation of human IncRNAs based on RNA-seq data. *BMC Genomics* 16:S2
- 159. Liu J, Wang H, Chua NH. 2015. Long noncoding RNA transcriptome of plants. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 13:319–28
- 160. Bai Y, Dai X, Harrison AP, Chen M. 2015. RNA regulatory networks in animals and plants: a long noncoding RNA perspective. *Briefings in Functional Genomics* 14:91–101
- 161. Waseem M, Liu Y, Xia R. 2020. Long non-coding RNAs, the dark matter: An emerging regulatory component in plants. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 22:86
- 162. Rosenlund IA, Calin GA, Dragomir MP, Knutsen E. 2021. CRISPR/Cas9 to Silence Long Non-Coding RNAs. In Long Non-Coding RNAs in Cancer. Methods in Molecular Biology, ed. Navarro A. New York: Humana. pp. 175–87 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-1581-2\_12
- 163. Zhu S, Li W, Liu J, Chen CH, Liao Q, et al. 2016. Genome-scale deletion screening of human long non-coding RNAs using a paired-guide RNA CRISPR-Cas9 library. *Nature Biotechnology* 34:1279–86
- 164. Huang W, Li H, Yu Q, Xiao W, Wang DO. 2022. LncRNA-mediated DNA methylation: an emerging mechanism in cancer and beyond. *Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research* 41:100
- 165. Qian X, Zhao J, Yeung PY, Zhang QC, Kwok CK. 2019. Revealing IncRNA structures and interactions by sequencing-based approaches. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* 44:33–52
- 166. Ferrè F, Colantoni A, Helmer-Citterich M. 2016. Revealing protein–IncRNA interaction. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 17:106–16
- 167. Xu W, Yang T, Wang B, Han B, Zhou H, et al. 2018. Differential expression networks and inheritance patterns of long noncoding RNAs in castor bean seeds. *The Plant Journal* 95:324–40
- Liu Y, Ke L, Wu G, Xu Y, Wu X, et al. 2017. miR3954 is a trigger of phasiRNAs that affects flowering time in citrus. *The Plant Journal* 92:263–75
- 169. Sun Y, Hao P, Lv X, Tian J, Wang Y, et al. 2020. A long non-coding apple RNA, MSTRG. 85814.11, acts as a transcriptional enhancer of *SAUR32* and contributes to the Fe-deficiency response. *The Plant Journal* 103:53–67
- 170. Hu R, Sun X. 2016. IncRNATargets: A platform for IncRNA target prediction based on nucleic acid thermodynamics. *Journal* of *Bioinformatics and Computational Biology* 14:1650016
- 171. Furió-Tarí P, Tarazona S, Gabaldón T, Enright AJ, Conesa A. 2016. spongeScan: A web for detecting microRNA binding elements in IncRNA sequences. *Nucleic Acids Research* 44:W176–W180
- 172. Jiang Q, Wang J, Wang Y, Ma R, Wu X, Li Y. 2014. TF2LncRNA: identifying common transcription factors for a list of IncRNA genes from ChIP-Seq data. *Biomed Research International* 2014:317642
- 173. Huang HY, Chien CH, Jen KH, Huang HD. 2006. RegRNA: an integrated web server for identifying regulatory RNA motifs and elements. *Nucleic Acids Research* 34:W429–W434
- 174. Graf J, Kretz M. 2020. From structure to function: Route to understanding IncRNA mechanism. *BioEssays* 42:2000027
- 175. Diederichs S. 2014. The four dimensions of noncoding RNA conservation. *Trends in Genetics* 30:121–23

Copyright: © 2022 by the author(s). Published by Maximum Academic Press, Fayetteville, GA. This article is an open access article distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.